

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geological Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOGER_93883
Title of the Manuscript:	Developing Sustainability in Analysing Sanitary and Environmental Conditions to Improve Quality of Life in Selected Informal Settlements of Port Harcourt Municipality
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajoger.com/index.php/AJOGER/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>General remarks The manuscript needs to address the following :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The manuscript needs English proofing (review language) • The abstract is lengthy needs to remove highlighted section • Repeated information in (Aim and Objectives of the Study) and(scope of work section) • Refer to figures in the text body • Use et al., for references including more than 2 authors in the text body • Replace the work Methodology with methods and materials • How many key informants were interviewed? • Explain the questionnaire used • In the literature review add references to support the role of infrastructure in supporting quality of life • Clarify ,are you evaluating subjective or objective quality of life? It is not clear how did u extract your results • How this relates to the research aim (providing low-income homes and providing housing loan accounted for 48.6% and 24%, respectively.) • Where is the discussion section 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Noha Ahmed Abd El Aziz
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt