

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Biology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJOB_93081</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>SARS-CoV-2: A Systematic Review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Suggested to revise the abstract; this is unclear to show the significance of this systemic review. Q1. Abstract It is suggested that it can be separated the session to be more clear to indicate the "background," "Objective," "Methods," "Results," and "Conclusion." Q2. Introduction 2.1) Any direct correlation between the study and with literature? 2.11 Why is this so important? 2.2) How does it link up with your overall contribution to the study? Q4. I suggest that the authors order the subtitles in the following manner: Methods--> Results--> Discussion --> Conclusion; this is a problematic sequence for the reader to follow. Q5 what is the screening process of this systemic review? Inclusion and exclusion criteria and what software was used in this review? Q6 Revise the table and describe the aim of the table would like to bring out the results.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	N/A	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The language and the quality do not match the acceptance and publication standard	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Alex Siu Wing Chan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, China</b>