

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_93770
Title of the Manuscript:	Level of Glycaemic Control among Diabetic Patients Accessing Care at Bowen University Teaching Hospital, Ogbomoso
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Overall, this is a clear, concise, and well-written manuscript. The introduction is relevant and theory based. Sufficient information about the previous study findings is presented for readers to follow the present study rationale and procedures. The methods are generally appropriate, although clarification of a few detail. Overall, the results are clear and compelling with two possible exceptions. The use of the word path analysis suggests the use of a certain analytic technique which does not appear to have been used. The authors make a systematic contribution to the research literature in this area of investigation. Overall, this is a high quality manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Please re construct the abstract by including all the summary of your research in a single.</li> <li>2. Your background is not well written. Please write clearly and correctly about the Level of Glycaemic Control among Diabetic Patients and support your information with appropriate citation and latest citation.</li> <li>3. Please include the statement of problem, the objectives and the research question answers in the background part in summary form. In abstract portion as well as in introduction portion..</li> <li>4. In methodology part please include about the types of your data, methods of data collection, sampling techniques, sample size calculation, target population and statistical method that you used to analyse the data.</li> <li>5. The types of statistics are clearly not described in this manuscript whether descriptive of inferential statistics you used.</li> <li>6. The type of variables is not specifically written in this portion.</li> <li>7. In the methodology part the table is not well constructed .</li> <li>8.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The minor comment is just reread the all manuscript and identify the error like punctuation mark, space between words, upper case and small case etc.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sileshi Bekele Wordofa
Department, University & Country	Wollega University, Ethiopia