

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_93770
Title of the Manuscript:	Level of Glycaemic Control among Diabetic Patients Accessing Care at Bowen University Teaching Hospital, Ogbomoso
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Generally, the study discusses a very important topic in clinical practice. Most of the work is well done. Concerning the title, I advised to be as follows: Assessment of Glycaemic Control among Diabetic Patients attending care at ..... In the abstract, it is important to mention the method of assessing glycaemic control (HBA1C%) which is not mentioned. In result (in abstract), the mean and SD of HBA1C was not written. The aim of the study as stated in the title was to determine the glycaemic control of diabetic patients, so no need to add factors associated with good glycaemic control.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In the conclusion better to add a recommendation of further studies to find out the causes of this poor glycaemic control. I do not think there is a need to include the patient religion in socioeconomic data.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Abstract in any study should be informative summary explaining how the study was done with on discussion so have a look at the abstract.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdel Salam Mohamed Hamad Elfaki
Department, University & Country	University of Kordofan, Sudan