

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_94262
Title of the Manuscript:	VIOLENCE AND RELIGIOUS FUNDAMENTALISM-TRIGGERED TRAUMA RESPONSE: A STUDY OF SELECTED NIGERIAN PSYCH FICTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In the introduction, the topic was not introduced in ways that would have the reader to understand the topic and objective of the manuscript.</p> <p>I am not sure if the manuscript was verted by the editor for relevance to the journal</p> <p>The paper is not an original research article, it reads more like an opinion peace. If it was a literature review, then it would need more critical analysis/evaluation of literature around the central theme of the topic. The introduction needs major revision so that the topic in clearly introduced, objectives and justification outlined.</p> <p>Referencing style needs edits as well.</p> <p>It is a bit confusing on whether the paper is a review of Chimamanda Adichie's <i>Purple Hibiscus</i> – if so, then the author should be clear that it's a critical review of Chimamanda's work.</p> <p>There are parts that do not read well and it needs proof reading</p> <p>There are claims made in the article that are not supported by evidence from literature or research. For example, is it the author's opinion when they state that "It is worth noting that a family that is headed by a fanatic creates a home of tension and anxiety"?</p> <p>Different parts of the paper have different font sizes</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Viola Manokore
Department, University & Country	Nor Quest College, Canada