

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_94706
Title of the Manuscript:	Ameliorating Attribution of Diet Mixed Coccinia Indica Leaf Extracts in Experimentally Alloxan-Induced Diabetic Wistar Rats in a Dose Dependent Approach.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>This paper is an original investigation, this is interesting. The information adds knowledge in the field of research however, it needs a very careful revision and correction.</b> Some corrections are indicated in the text in color. References must be revised and corrected.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Comments: Some corrections are indicated in the text of the article.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Title:</b> Ameliorating Attribution of Diet Mixed <i>Coccinia Indica</i> Leaf Extracts in Experimentally Alloxan-Induced Diabetic Wistar Rats in a Dose Dependent Approach.  <b>The use of capital letters is suggested thus as to follow the scientific nomenclature for plants.</b>  Following the scientific nomenclature must be written as follow: <i>Coccinia indica</i>.</li> <li><b>Abstract.</b> A re-structure of the abstract is suggested including information in the objectives on the use of metformin as control and how the groups of rats were formed and treated.  Keywords are ok.</li> <li><b>Introduction.</b> It is ok  At the end to add a line on the use of metformin for comparative purposes.</li> <li><b>Materials and Methods.</b>  A change in the order is suggested as follow:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4.1.Reagents.</li> <li>4.2. Animals</li> </ol>               To include information on the distribution of rats for each group and treatment administered to each group, including that group receiving metformin as control group.             </li> <li><b>Results and Discussion.</b> It is ok.  To use the correct abbreviation for <b>gram = g</b></li> <li><b>Conclusions.</b> Are ok</li> <li><b>References.</b> There is a list of 12 references, they are cited in the text, however a <b>very careful revision</b> is required. To follow the same style is suggested.  <b>In references 3 and 4 there are two references for each one.</b></li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	No comments.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>José D. Méndez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mexican Institute of Social Security, México</b>