

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_94720
Title of the Manuscript:	Replacement of Fish Meal with Fermented Soybean Meal in Fish Feed: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript has too much grammatical errors. For example (In Abstract – Last three lines); “soybean meal fermentation as a substitute for fish meal” – It should be written as fermented soybean meal as a substitute...</p> <p>Introduction – Each sentence lacks continuity Soybean meal as a substitute for fish meal (Sub title) – It would be better if each antinutritive factor is reviewed with related literatures in different fish species Sub title-Fermentation function – Fermentation process by different organisms has to be reviewed. Sub title-Nutrients in fermentation of soybean meal – Its not fermentation of – it is fermented soybean meal. Second line – increase in nutrients such as..... – feed digestibility is not a nutrient. The table number is 1 (instead of 2) Sub title – fermentation of soybean meal – rewrite as fermented soybean meal. First sentence is not continuous. Soybean meal fermentation using vs soybean meal fermented using – which is correct?. You can write as “The fermentation process carried out by”. It would also be better, if it is mentioned as anaerobic or aerobic. Conclusion – First sentence – in replacing FM as an alternative – both means same – rewrite. Fourth line – there are still not many efficient uses for fish growth – kindly justify this sentence.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P.Vasan
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, India