

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_92252
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Fin Fish Composition, Diversity and Abundance in the Upper Course of Otamiri River, Imo State
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">-Abstract: check the specific result name in yellow, as it is written in italics. Check the letter highlighted in yellow, as it is written in lower case.- Introduction: check in the first paragraph, fourth line, the period before the comma is missing (highlighted in yellow). In the second paragraph, fourth line, the comma is missing.- Results and Discussion: revise the family ending highlighted in yellow, as first the a and then the e. First paragraph, sixth line/line, the comma highlighted in yellow is missing. Last paragraph, sixth line, the name of the genus is repeated for the second time, so it is sufficient and/or correct, with the initial of said name, followed by a period (P.), and the paragraph is very long. Check in the tenth line what is highlighted in yellow, since the comma is not necessary.- References: check the reference highlighted in yellow, since it is a different typeface.	
Optional/General comments	- This is good research	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rigoberto Fimia Duarte
Department, University & Country	University of Medical Sciences of Villa Clara (UCM-VC), Cuba