

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_95247
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPING SKILLS of OBEYING CLASSROOM RULES for CHILDREN with AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDERS PREPARING to INCLUSIVE GRADE 1 - CASE STUDY RESULTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The paper refers to a very important and current topic for the scientific community. The methodology is adequate, although poor, since the author could have used more tools to demonstrate his/her proposal. However, it opens a very important door to investigate the ABA methodology for autistic people.</p> <p>The results are clearly expressed.</p> <p>The title and abstract are clear and easily explained. It is necessary to update the bibliography, since little updated references are used.</p> <p>The author is recommended to expand the introduction, considering a more up-to-date theoretical framework and reference.</p> <p>The conclusions are very poor, taking into account the importance of the theme of the article. The author is recommended to expand the conclusions</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Mercedes Vernia Carrasco
Department, University & Country	Jaume I University, Spain