

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_94338
Title of the Manuscript:	STEM Education in The University of Bamenda: A Possible Panacea to Dyscalculia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The result presented by the researcher is accurate when he referred to: "Practicing teachers, especially those at the Basic Education level and arts teachers, should be encouraged to apply and go through the STEM education programme".	The researcher's selection of this field of research distinguishes the researcher scientifically, ethically and academically. Focusing on scientific bases and their applications is one of the most important academic aspects that affect generations and the progress of the country	
Minor REVISION comments	Undoubtedly STEM a distinguished educational program, but I do not agree with the researcher regarding its obligation. To be used during the educational process, but the student is not burdened with the weight of a new curriculum that he is obliged to deal with as a course of study. We are in front of a generation that all the world's libraries of photos and videos were opened to. Focusing on specialization with multiple means and styles of education.	The researcher's performance was characterized by field observation with an appreciable period of time, as well as investigation and detailing of the results. It may be in the depth and gradation of the questionnaire's questions in the details of the gradual change to the better that helps the researcher in evaluating the proposed solutions.	
Optional/General comments	In the results, the response of male students is higher than that of females. So the indication of certainty that is required and the full program circulated needs to be modified.	Explanation and detail of the Dyscalculia in the Introduction", clear, organized and accurate. Also, the research plan is distinguished, supporting the results, with an appreciable period of time.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fatemah Kh Alasfour
Department, University & Country	College of Health Science, Kuwait