

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_94204
Title of the Manuscript:	The Possible Solutions to Three Dimensions of Educational Reform in China
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The author did not tell the readers what methods did he/she use in the review. The author could tell readers how he/she arrived at reviewing the 20 articles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is recommended that the author should also address the following concerns beyond reasonable doubt: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What methodological convention of systematic review was adopted in the study? What steps were followed in accepting the 20 articles? How did the study consider the inclusion and exclusion protocols or procedures throughout the review process? <p>The author should reconsider the acceptable academic citation mode. He/she has just to ask whether is it acceptable citing the first and surnames in the work, and why his/her citations use first and surnames E.g. <i>(Wei Wei, 2022)</i>, which is contrary to the normal used citation mode <i>(Wei, 2022)</i>. The author needs to consider what local and international readers will benefit from the study.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The work needs editing and careful observation of some typing errors and spacing issues. Some key and technical words/phrases need more clarity in the work right from their first appearance, so as to inform readers what they mean in the study context. E.g. <i>application-oriented colleges and universities, hybrid teaching model,</i></p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript needs to accommodate the recommended inputs above before it is considered for further procedures and publication.</p> <p>Citations and referencing styles should be well observed and be world-reader friendly.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Frank R. Mwamakula
Department, University & Country	St. Augustine University of Tanzania, Tanzania