

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_94040</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>INTEGRATION OF KNOWLEDGE PARADIGM AND ITS PRACTICAL APPLICATION TO ISLAMIC EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM IN MUSLIM COUNTRIES</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Introduction</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ The researchers need to provide appropriate citations where necessary e.g. most of the information provided on page two, a lot of information on Challenges of Research and Integration of Knowledge and also Practical Application of the Integration to Islamic Educational System has not been cited</li> <li>✓ Were the researchers interested in finding out the application of integration of knowledge in Islamic educational systems in Muslim countries or integration of knowledge in Islamic educational systems in Muslim countries? The data collected seems to suggest otherwise.</li> <li>✓ There are a lot of grammatical errors in the manuscript</li> <li>✓ The paper needs to be organized appropriately with appropriate sub headings e.g. methodology should appear after literature review.</li> <li>✓ The researchers also need to cite relevant studies which seem to be lacking in the literature review</li> <li>✓ The researchers need to tell the reader more about the models of integration of knowledge listed in this study. Which model was considered appropriate for this study?</li> </ul> <p><b>Methodology</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ The researchers need to indicate which countries were identified for this study and justify why?</li> <li>✓ What was the criteria used to select the respondents who took part in this study?</li> <li>✓ Isn't a sample size of 50 too small for 16 countries?</li> <li>✓ How many of the respondents were students and how many were instructors?</li> <li>✓ What was the rationale for combining the results from two sets of respondents?</li> <li>✓ How informed were the respondents on Islamic education in their countries?</li> <li>✓ How many respondents were selected from each country?</li> <li>✓ Which sampling techniques were used in this study?</li> <li>✓ Did the study involve both male and female respondents?</li> <li>✓ What was the age range for the respondents?</li> <li>✓ Did the researchers use Islamic platforms or social media platforms consisting of Muslims?</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 1.6**

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Was the instrument used in data collection developed by the researcher or adopted from elsewhere?</li> <li>✓ Did the researchers conduct a pilot study? If they did, what was the reliability coefficient for the instrument?</li> <li>✓ <b>Data analysis and presentation</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ The researcher should start by indicating which objective of the study will be addressed by the results to be presented.</li> <li>✓ Explain to the reader about the nature of data collected and how it was collected.</li> <li>✓ The results presented are more of perceptions of respondents hence they should be reported as such.</li> <li>✓ On table 2 it appears that respondents were given open ended questions. Kindly present the results under themes and sub themes. For example what do you mean by many universities? How many respondents indicated many universities? It is important for the reader to know how the respondents responded to these issues.</li> <li>✓ The first 2 statements listed on table 2 are contradicting one another hence the responses cannot be similar.</li> <li>✓ Are all the topics listed being taught in all the universities in the 16 countries? And if so, what was the need of researching on it?</li> <li>✓ The results presented need to be discussed.</li> <li>✓ What do the acronyms in table 2 stand for? This information should be provided at the bottom of the table.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b>References</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Some of the citations are not captured in section e.g Al-Alwani, Ajayi (2015), Cheng (2000) e.t.c</li> <li>✓ Which referencing style is being used in this study?</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Quinter Migunde</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Maseno University, Kenya</b>