

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_93983
Title of the Manuscript:	Time Management Skills and Academic Performance of Working Students in Open High School Program
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article may confuse correlation with causality. The statement in sub-section 3.3 that;</p> <p><i>"we conclude that there is a significant relationship between the level of time management skills and the academic performance of working students in Open High School Program"</i></p> <p>should be rephrased to suggest that may be a possibility, consistent with the statement in the Recommendation that;</p> <p><i>"the researchers believe that there are still other factors which may influence their learning. Hence, we recommend to explore other variables, such as behavioural, biological, social, or psychological factors in future studies"</i>.</p> <p>Describe the actual sampling methods</p> <p>Attach a copy of the questionnaire used, and/or describe its structure</p> <p>Identify the percentages of non-response and partial response of intended respondents, and reasons for these.</p> <p>Disaggregate findings by gender and suggest reasons for any differences in findings</p> <p>Disaggregate findings by age cohort and suggest reasons for any differences in findings</p> <p>Refer briefly to the research ethics observed and any conflicts of interest by the author(s) (see Part 2 below). Why was this school selected?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Briefly discuss the concept of "time management".</p> <p>Correct grammar e.g. some sentences are incorrectly phrased</p> <p>Correct spellings e.g. Conclusion and Recommendation should be plural.</p> <p>Some words should not have their first initial capitalised e.g. Student (first para); Performance (para 2.2); Time (Conclusion point 3).</p> <p>Some words should have their initial letter capitalised e.g. Covid, Phillipines, Benford's (Reference no. 10)</p>	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Need to include a brief statement in the article on informed consent, and safeguarding respondents' anonymity or confidentiality if required	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nicholas Waterman
Department, University & Country	UK