

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_93740
Title of the Manuscript:	"A STUDY OF AWARENESS AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE RIGHT TO FREE AND COMPULSORY EDUCATION ACT 2009 IN KARIMNAGAR DISTRICT, TELANGANA STATE"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>From the title, it can be inferred that the objective of this paper was to discover the level of awareness and implementation of right to free education and compulsory education act 2009 among teachers and parents in Karimnagar. The aim was noble but this paper needs major improvement before it can be published. Here are the comments to improve this paper:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract should inform the gist of the whole paper. It should include the problem statement, objectives of the study, methodology used including data collection and analysis procedures, etc., and findings of this study. 2. Introduction should discuss the background or context of the study. Statistics on schools and enrolment in Karimnagar should be presented as well as the events that led to the establishment of the education act 2009. This should be done to illustrate to the readers of the condition of schooling which had led to the education act 2009 so that readers would not be lost. 3. Theoretical framework was not discussed in the paper. But, the paper explain over and over again the importance of education to men, society and country. It can be deduced that the paper mentioned once on social constructivism and it mentioned several times the concept of quality schooling/education. 4. Literature review was not extensive so did not display breadth of knowledge in the area the author is writing about. Literature review should be presented thematically so that readers would not read the same thing over and over again. I suggest that the author read other academic papers and learn how literature review was presented. 5. The significance of study did not explain who will benefit from the findings of this study and what field of knowledge this study contribute to. 6. Methodology needs major correction. The paper did not explain the research method used as well as method of data collection and analysis procedures. The population of the study was not described, the sampling method and sampling size were not explained. Moreover, the instruments used to collect data was not discussed at all, thus the validity and reliability of the collected data is questionable. 7. This paper did not explain what it meant by graphic exploration, graphic review techniques and graphic illustrative techniques. This is the first time I encountered with these methods. Therefore, the paper should cite sources which explain these methods. 8. Conclusion should conclude the study not discuss again the significance of schooling and education. It should tell the readers what insights have been highlighted by this study and why this highlights were important. it should also mention future direction as the result of this study. 9. Language need massive improvement. I suggest that this paper should be sent to professional editor before submitting to this journal. Sentences were long and winding which made readers lost the meaning intended. Words and concepts were not clearly defined. Reading this paper was very difficult because of the language. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Can only be published with major correction	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wan Mazwati Wan Yusoff
Department, University & Country	International Islamic University Malaysia, Malaysia