

# Original Research Article

## **Stakeholders Perceptions about the use of Alternative punishment in Maintaining**

### **School Discipline: A case of Bagamoyo District, Tanzania**

#### **ABSTRACT**

The finest environments for achieving academic performance are those with high standards for discipline. Because everyone is focused on the teaching and learning process, good discipline is crucial for creating a secure, respectful learning environment. The purpose of the study was to assess the perceptions of educational stakeholders about the use of Alternative Punishment in Maintaining Discipline in Secondary Schools in Bagamoyo District. This study was guided by three research objectives which were: to explore the perceptions of educational stakeholders about the use of corporal punishment in secondary schools, to identify the alternative punishments used to maintain students' discipline, and to describe the effectiveness of alternative punishments used by teachers in maintaining students' discipline. This study used a quantitative research paradigm under which cross sectional survey research design were employed. The sample included 112 secondary school students, 32 secondary school teachers, 8 discipline masters/mistresses, and 8 Heads of secondary schools from the sampled 8 secondary schools in Bagamoyo district. Data were collected through interview guide, questionnaires, and documentary analysis guide. Data was analyzed using descriptive statistics and content analysis. According to the results, using corporal punishment as the sole method of discipline in secondary schools is not seen negatively. The majority of participants rejected the notion that CP is a poor tactic. Additionally, it was found out that verbal warning, summoning parents, suspension, doing outside activities, and removing privileges were the common alternative punishments employed in schools in maintaining students' discipline. Furthermore, it was established that educational stakeholders have positive perceptions towards the use of alternative punishment as the most effective way of maintaining discipline in secondary schools. Based on the findings of this study, it is concluded that educational stakeholders in Bagamoyo district perceive alternative punishment as effective in maintaining students' discipline. The study recommended that teachers need to explore some other means of alternative punishment in order to effectively maintain school discipline.

**Keywords:** *Alternative punishment, Corporal punishment, stakeholders, Perceptions, school discipline, Bagamoyo*

## **INTRODUCTION**

It is impossible to overstate the importance of discipline in education and children's moral development. Okumbe (1998) contends that all members of the institution should strictly adhere to the behavioural patterns required for maximum productivity in order to realise the vision and purpose of the organisation. Similar to this, Onderi & Odera, (2012) asserts that students' efforts to meet high educational standards and receive a successful education depend on their ability to maintain a high level of discipline. Therefore, learning and teaching cannot proceed effectively without a disciplined environment, claims Hassan, (2012).

A system of rules and regulations that control children's behaviour and work to uphold law and order in schools is referred to as "school discipline." It seeks to make the classroom and school a secure and welcoming place for learning (Busiene, 2012). The finest environments for achieving academic performance are those with high standards for discipline. Because everyone is focused on the teaching and learning process, good discipline is crucial for creating a secure, respectful learning environment. A child's ability to develop emotionally, socially, and morally depends on their level of discipline (Baumrind, et al, 2002)). The old view of discipline was founded on the idea that kids are naturally bad and need to have their badness controlled. Therefore, one of the most crucial elements that facilitates social organisations' efficient operation and helps them achieve their goals is discipline. Schools are social systems with two goals: first, they help students learn how to acquire knowledge, and second, they help students learn how to behave well. According to Dauso, (2010), discipline is the key to achieving good socialisation of students into society.

According to Hyman, I. A. & Perone, D.C. (1998), historically, school institutions have kept order among students by using corporal punishment. It is one of the oldest strategies which have been used since the classical civilizations in Egypt, Greece, Rome, and Israel. It was used for both the judicial and educational controlling strategies; Corporal punishment against children has received support for thousands of years from interpretation of legal and religious doctrines (Busiene, 2012). In communist schools, corporal punishment was frequently administered in a systematic manner. In these schools, students aren't just taught to follow orders from a central authority; they're also equipped for more than just administrative engagement (Gershoff, 2002). The American Psychological Association outlawed physical punishment in schools in 1974. To oversee this, it created the Children's Right Task Force. The official recommendation to prohibit physical punishment in schools was made in a National Education Association study published during that time period. The practise of corporal punishment is harsh, degrading, and reinforces the idea that physical force can be used to resolve conflicts in the classroom. The advancement of human rights in society depends on the elimination of physical punishment and other cruel practises in our schools.

Despite the critical role that discipline plays in academic pursuit, there are worries about secondary school students' discipline in many regions of the world (Ndembu, 2013; Mtsweni, 2008; Simatwa, 2012; Smith, N. (1998). Mtsweni, 2008, students in many

secondary schools around the world show signs of dealing with growing-up-related issues as evidenced by the rise in suicide, violence, emotional difficulties, behavioural issues, family issues, drug use, and teenage problems involving self-esteem, interpersonal relationships, and sexuality. Furthermore, according to Ndembu,(2013), the rise in unwelcome student behaviour reflected a scenario in which the GC services provided in Kenyan secondary schools did not live up to stakeholders in education's expectations. Despite the fact that CP has been accepted as a suitable strategy for fostering constructive discipline in educational institutions around the globe, worrying findings from research cast doubt on CP's effectiveness in classrooms.

Research from numerous nations demonstrates the severity of physical abuse students endure while being disciplined. There is enough data to conclude that using physical punishment on youngsters causes them to become more aggressive (Waseif, 2011) Children who have suffered corporal punishment become more aggressive toward their peers (Robinson et al, 2005). Instead of teaching children the lessons that are intended, corporal punishment may encourage the development of antisocial behaviour. The kids develop a spirit of rebellion as a result. Studies on corporal punishment suggest that it may hinder kids' cognitive development. There is a link between using corporal punishment and having poor IQ scores (Smith, 1998).

Although the government has set a corporal punishment act against corporal punishment in 1979, the circular does not state any alternative penalty to students violating class rules and regulations. Yet, students' offences in the classroom have and continue to occur and as stated above some teachers have given up and let such offences go uncorrected. This study is of the assumption that creative teachers in the field of education have, either singly or a school, developed alternative ways of punishing or correcting naughty children's behavior that helps maintain school discipline. Such new and harmless methods (innovations) will have worked positively for such isolated schools, but it is neither documented nor published for common usage by other schools. Therefore, this study assessed the perceptions of educational stakeholders about the use of Alternative Punishment in Maintaining Discipline in Secondary Schools in Bagamoyo District.

## **2. SUMMARY OF LITERATURE REVIEW**

Punishment is merely one way to instil discipline, according to Ward (2007). It is important to teach students that punishment is a normal and comprehensible result of bad behaviour. According to Hyman and Perone (2009), punishment should include deliberate, disagreeable effects with the goal of favourably altering behaviour. The use of corporal punishment, which is inherently dehumanising and brutal, promotes the idea that there is no problem that cannot be solved via violence in schools, particularly in the classroom.

The creation of a culture of human rights in the nation will need the outlawing of corporal punishment and other cruel practises in schools. Rights must, however, be used wisely. Making a distinction between discipline and punishment is really important. The rationale behind punishment is the assumption that when kids suffer for bad behaviour, they won't do it again. This strategy actually causes quite a bit of damage. Children who experience it feel hostile, antisocial, and violent. The U.N. Committee on Children's Rights claims that using

corporal punishment in schools is against the Convention on the Rights of the Child (Human Rights Watch, 1999).

Gershoff (2002) argues that punishment is a means to correct students' undesirable behavior that has or is likely to bring unrest to the school community or disturb the desired learning environment. For purposes of harmony and a conducive learning environment, a school community sets, as a matter of principle, a set of rules and regulations that need to be observed and adhered to by both students and staff to maintain discipline and order in a school. These rules and regulations may, for example, define the expected dressing standards of clothing, timekeeping, punctuality, self-control, social behavior and work ethics

Good school discipline is a result of rules, moral instructions that form behavioral strategies appropriate to the regulation of children and the maintenance of order in schools. The aim of school discipline is, ostensibly, to create a safe and conducive learning environment in the classroom. In a classroom where a teacher is unable to maintain order and discipline, the climate for learning is diminished. When classroom order and rules are violated, then penalty becomes necessary.

Ward (2007) argues that punishment improves school discipline, but if overused, punishment leads to inability to concentrate and therefore, retards learning. It erodes trust between pupils and teachers and sometimes make some children drop-out of school. Notwithstanding the opposition from the parents, teachers who hold on corporal punishment as a correcting measure of students' discipline, the law on corporal punishment has remained unchanged, that is the head of school or permitted teacher should administer corporal punishment. It is then not clear how do teachers ensure that indiscipline is dealt with immediately as it happens if it is to follow the principles of controlling students discipline more effectively.

In Tanzania the use of corporal punishment in schools has its roots in the German colonial practices on students' discipline. It included the wide spread canning of students whenever teachers perceived students to have broken school rules and norms, but also when they were not performing well in their lessons. Gradually CP became an accepted method of enhancing discipline in schools (received high degree of cultural acceptance). A study by Maphosa (2010) indicates that it is not only in Tanzania and Bagamoyo district where teachers cling on to corporal punishment. The study revealed that most teachers in South Africa consider alternative methods as time wasting. Teacher would spend weeks just trying to deal with the case of a child who is not doing his or her work at school. This takes a lot of the teacher's time and also disturbs serious learners as the teacher may not attend classes while attending to disciplinary hearings or talking to parents summoned to the school.

Schools are regarded as the extension of the family where children are imparted with knowledge, skills and good attitudes for their future life. Secondary school level is a paramount preparatory ground of the human resource in the various professional areas that are crucial in the development of the nation. Responsible people are likely to be produced from secondary schools where the ground of imparting knowledge and skills bring harmony to the school community. One could therefore assume that many of the leaders

and expertise who are working in different institutions in the country had successful education due to good discipline from the schools where they studied.

When school rules and regulations are violated by an individual or a group, punishment is sought to discourage recurrence of such behavior. For instance, if the school regulation requires students to observe silence in the classroom and one student deliberately makes noise, it disturbs other students who want to concentrate on their studies. Such students are punished. Likewise, if a teacher was supposed to report for work at 8.00 AM does not appear until 10.00 AM, his absence is likely to cause a lot of problems not only to the students in that class, but also to other classes and to the whole school. The authority may have a right to punish the teacher to discourage late arrivals. Traditionally punishment for students was corporal punishment, an infliction of pain often by strokes (hit, smack, spank or strike). In a sense this may have been so because that was what parents also did with their children at home when their children committed crimes and parents were offended. Class teachers have therefore, at their convenience, administered corporal punishment to students for it's easy to administer and less follow-up responsibilities by the teacher thereafter.

Along with the great achievement obtained under SEDP to increase the number of secondary schools and enrollment of students, school discipline ranks as one of the major concerns voiced by different educationists as a source of declining of academic standards. Different strategies are used by teachers singly or as a school to maintain students' discipline. Surprisingly the government and other educational stakeholders spend much of time imposing strict measures against the use of corporal punishment and yet no alternative punishments are given to teachers. This situation might have developed creativity to some individual teachers/ school in terms of how they handle students discipline by using alternative strategies.

the available empirical studies tends to concentrate more on the effects of corporal punishments and how it helps to deal with the previous in disciplinary cases instead of focusing on how discipline could be maintained. At the same time, the results obtained were inconsistent with regard to where the studies were conducted. Studies from developed countries (e.g. Blandford, 2002; Cotton, 1990) showed that parents were against CP while studies in developing countries Muneja (2013) and Khewu (2012) showed parents still prefer CP to be used in schools.

As a whole most of the studied carried out on alternative punishment included either only primary school or both primary and secondary schools Khewu (2012), (Muneja 2013) The educational context in primary school may not be the same to that of secondary schools in terms of the Teaching and learning environment, age of the primary pupils and secondary students and even the facilitators. Therefore, the researcher found it important to carry out this study in secondary schools only to see the outcomes. Therefore, there were no attempts made out to address the extent to which teachers in secondary school in Bagamoyo use alternative punishments in maintaining student's discipline. This is the gap in the literature which the present study was designed to address through assessing the Perceptions of Educational Stakeholders about the use of Alternative Punishment in Maintaining Discipline in Secondary Schools in Bagamoyo District.

### **3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

This study used a quantitative research paradigm under which cross sectional survey research design was employed. This design was employed because of its ability and strength in handling a large area and a large sample and it allows for multiple use of data collection tools. The design was appropriate to collect data on the perceptions and opinions of the subjects of the study on the current status of events, individuals or procedures like those on the effectiveness of corporal punishment from teachers and students perspectives. The sample included 112 secondary school students, 32 secondary school teachers, 8 discipline masters/mistresses, and 8 Heads of secondary schools from the sampled 8 secondary schools in Bagamoyo district. Both probability and non-probability sampling techniques were used to sample the participants. Data were collected through interview guide, questionnaires, and documentary analysis guide. The instruments were validated in terms of their content evidence of validity. These tools were checked for clarity, comprehensiveness, language and relevancy of the content and length of the instrument. To ensure reliability the researcher employed the Spearman correlation reliability test where the statistics result were above  $r \geq 0.7$  which implied that the tools were reliable and sufficient for data collection process. The researcher used pseudonyms instead of the actual names of the participants during the data presentation. This ensured anonymity and confidentiality of the study' participants. Data was analyzed using frequencies, percentages and means and standard deviation. The responses were presented in the form of tables using SPSS version 21.

### **4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

The research findings are presented and reported based on the three research objectives which guided this study;

#### **4.1 The perceptions of educational stakeholders about the use of corporal punishment in secondary schools**

The research question one sought to find out how students perceive on the use of corporal punishment in secondary schools. Teachers and students' respondents were asked to respond to statements using a five point of 11 items Likert scale of "strongly agree(SA)-5, agree(A)-4, undecided(U)-3, disagree(D)- 2, strongly disagree(SD) -1, and no response(NoRS). For negative statements the order was reversed. Table 1 and 2 respectively shows frequency distribution of students' responses to items in the attitude scale.

**Table 1:****Distribution of students' responses on their perception towards the use of corporal punishment in secondary schools**

Statements	SD		A		DA		SD		U	
	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%
1 Cp is best strategy to maintain good discipline	-	-	7	6.3	25	22.	41	36.	39	34.
2 Cp is bad strategy	1	0.9	23	20.	50	44.	17	15.	21	18.
3 Students behave well if cp is used appropriately	18	16.	15	13.	24	21.	13	11.	42	37.
4 Cp maintain good morals and academic standings	30	26.	12	10.	32	28.	1	0.9	37	33.
5 Cp make students afraid to breach school rules	35	31.	22	19.	24	21.	35	31.	31	27.
6 Cp increases students truancy	6	5.4	6	5.4	27	24.	29	25.	44	39.
7 Cp must be prohibited	19	17	24	21.	43	38.	11	9.8	15	13.
8 Cp creates enmity among students and teachers	-	-	20	17.	30	26.	21	18.	41	36.
9 If cp is a good strategy	18	16.	43	38.	22	19.	8	7.1	21	18.
10 Cp cannot be avoided in schools	6	5.4	18	16.	20	17.	31	27.	37	33
11 Cp develops fear	-	-	15	13.	43	38.	18	16.	36	32.

Findings in Table 1 indicates that although government and Educational activist would like corporal punishment to be prohibited in schools but nearly half (44.6%) of the students who took part in the study disagreed with the idea that CP is the bad strategy. Furthermore, 43% of students disagreed with the idea that corporal punishment should be prohibited in schools. Although students seemed not to oppose the use of corporal punishment but it does not mean that CP is effective, majority (36.6%) of students strongly disagreed that CP is the best strategy to maintain discipline in secondary schools. Meanwhile, majority (38.4%) of students disagreed that CP develops fear in students. This was further justified by the responses in item number 8 from the Table above where they were asked whether CP creates enmity among students nearly more than quarter of students disagreed (26.8%), (18.8%) strongly disagreed while 36.6% of students were undecided. Less than a quarter (17.9%) of students agreed that CP creates enmity. This implies that the findings go against what different literatures and different theories explain about CP. Mtsweni (2008) question the effectiveness of corporal punishment and underline the side effects of corporal punishment, such as running away, fear of teacher, feelings of helplessness, humiliation, aggression and destruction at home and at school, abuse and criminal activities. Lewis (1999) also attributes corporal punishment to have increased aggression and lower levels of moral internalization and mental health and adds that adults

who were corporally punished when children are more likely to be criminals, be violent with their sexual partner, and spank their own children. Warioba (2012) impacts of corporal punishment to children at schools are both academically, socially, emotionally, psychologically and physically. Corporal punishment cause poor attendance, school dropout and conflicts between teachers and children which creates poor academic relationship between students and their teachers. The researcher sought out responses from teachers on the common methods used for maintenance of good discipline in schools. The information obtained was summarized in Table 2.

**Table 2:**

**Teachers responses about the common methods used to maintain students discipline in schools**

	Very common		Common		Somewhat common		Uncommon		Very uncommon	
	F	%	f	%	F	%	F	%	f	%
<b>Alternative punishment</b>	17	53.3	8	25	4	12.5	-	-	3	9.4
<b>Corporal punishment</b>	11	34.4	12	37.5	9	28.1	-	-	-	-

Results in Table 2 shows that both alternative punishment and corporal punishment were commonly employed by the teachers in maintaining students' discipline. The findings indicate that alternative punishment were reported commonly employed 25%, very common 53.3% while CP was reported as very commonly employed 34.4% while 37.5% commonly employed CP. Fifty three percent of teachers in secondary schools accepted that AP is very commonly used and 34.4% of teachers in secondary schools very common used CP. However, the difference between responses of the two samples of respondents (teachers and students) about which method is commonly employed by the teachers in school was not statistically significant— $t(0.05) df 24 = -1.527, p = .140$  or  $p > 0.05$ .

Similarly, when students were asked which method (Ap or Cp) was often employed by the teachers they gave out the results which were summarized in table 3.

**Table 3 Students responses about the common methods used to maintain students discipline in schools**

Statements	never		sometimes		Often		Very often		Undecided	
	F	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%

1	Alternative punishment	32	33.0	21	21.6	33	34.0	11	11.3	-	-
2	Corporal punishment	-	-	38	33.9	26	23.2	47	42	1	0.9

The results indicated that corporal punishment was indeed a common practice, particularly in all the surveyed schools. Contrary to teachers responses in Table 2 which indicate that both methods (AP/CP) were commonly used. Students' response in Table 3 shows that CP was often used as compared to alternative punishment which was less often used. Results show that 33.0% responses indicated that AP was never used, 21.6% used only sometimes, 34.0% often used while 11.3% very often used. On the other hand CP was reported by 33.9% as used sometimes, 23.2% often, 42% very often.

These data from teachers confirm the commonality of CP as a dominant form of discipline among teachers regardless of whether the school is or is not under the alternative means to discipline project. All head teachers also corroborated the above findings. Generally, they reported that CP was used even though there is a call to minimize its use in schools. They admitted using CP in their schools after all alternative means of solving problems have failed. Only 25% heads of schools were optimistic, claiming that generally, CP use in schools has decreased in Bagamoyo district and that, nowadays, more priority is given to alternative discipline techniques even though the government did not ban CP in schools.

Teachers who use corporal punishment argue that the power to control learners is taken away from parents and teachers. It is also critical to note that the reluctance of some teachers to the acceptance of the ban of corporal punishment could be emanating from their resistance to change in as far as conflict resolution is concerned. It may be that they are not prepared to change and learn new skills, and thus the frustration. Kivulu(2009) note that there is a growing concern that some teachers are preoccupied and even obsessed with corporal punishment as it is still persisting in homes but its effectiveness is still debatable.

Furthermore, the study was interested in finding out teachers' responses on their perception about the use of corporal punishment employed in their schools. Table 4 presents the summary responses of teachers on their perceptions towards the use of corporal punishment in schools.

**Table 4:**

**Teachers' responses on their perception towards the use of corporal punishment in secondary schools**

Statements	SD		A		DA		SD		U	
	F	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%
12 Cp is best strategy to maintain good discipline	-	-	7	6.3	25	22.	41	36.	39	34.
13 Cp is bad strategy	1	0.9	23	20.	50	44.	17	15.	21	18.
14 Students behave well if cp is used appropriately	18	16.	15	13.	24	21.	13	11.	42	37.
		1		4		4		6		5

15	Cp maintain good morals and academic standings	30	26.8	12	10.7	32	28.6	1	0.9	37	33.0
16	Cp make students afraid to breach school rules	35	31.3	22	19.6	24	21.4	35	31.3	31	27.7
17	Cp increases students truancy	6	5.4	6	5.4	27	24.1	29	25.9	44	39.3
18	Cp must be prohibited	11	9.8	24	21.4	19	17.0	43	38.4	15	13.6
19	Cp creates enmity among students and teachers	-	-	20	17.9	30	26.8	21	18.8	41	36.6
20	If cp is used wisely is a good strategy	18	16.1	43	38.4	22	19.6	8	7.1	21	18.8
21	Cp cannot be avoided in schools	6	5.4	18	16.1	20	17.9	31	27.7	37	33.4
22	Cp develops fear	36	32.1	15	13.4	43	38.4	18	16.1	-	-

Results in Table 4 indicate that teachers' responses concur with students perceptions about the use of corporal punishment in secondary school. Majority (44.6%) of teachers disagreed that CP is a bad strategy. Similarly, majority (38.4%) of the teachers strongly disagreed that CP must be prohibited, 24% agreed while 17% disagreed and 9.8% strongly agreed. Again, majority (38.4%) agreed that if CP is used wisely it is a good strategy, 16.1% strongly agreed. While 19.6% teachers disagreed that even if CP is used wisely it is not the best strategy. Results show that a large number (38.4%) of teachers strongly agreed that CP develops fear to students.

Although responses of undecided outnumber the acceptance/rejection responses but the overall data revealed that teachers use CP more frequently to reform students' misbehavior rather than the alternative punishment. This means, CP use in secondary schools is widely accepted in the education, and socially supported and even encouraged by teachers, parents and pupils. These findings concur with the existing literature by Muneja (2013) who found that African cultures in the continent and the diaspora support the use of CP despite the global changes in favor of its eradication. The perception of students and teachers on the use of CP was found not statistically significant with the ( $F=0.5765$ ,  $p=0.6339$  which is greater than 0.05).

Additionally, the researcher was interested to find out how the students perceive the effectiveness of corporal punishment in maintaining students discipline in school. Their responses are as presented in Table 5.

**Table 5**  
**Distribution of students' responses on the effectiveness of CP in maintaining discipline**

	Frequency(f)	Percentages (%)
very ineffective	33	34.0
Ineffective	29	29.9
Effective	11	11.3
very effective	22	22.7

Regarding the data analyzed on the question, “how effective is CP in maintaining students’ discipline”, results in Table 5 show that, ideally students expressed generally a negative perception towards the use of CP to discipline students in secondary schools. The majority (34.0%) of students indicated that corporal punishment is very ineffective (29.9%) said it is an ineffective strategy while 22.7% said it is very effective and 11.3% said it is effective. This shows mixed perceptions of students towards the use of CP in schools. While students disagreed that the method was not effective teachers perceived the alternative punishments as ineffective and were feeling disempowered in their ability to institute discipline in schools in absence of corporal punishment.

According to the results of this objective, using corporal punishment as the sole method of discipline in secondary schools is not seen negatively. The majority of participants rejected the notion that CP is a poor tactic. This suggests that the data contradict the explanations for CP provided by many works of literature and theories. For instance, Mtsweni (2008) questions the efficacy of corporal punishment and emphasises its negative consequences, which include running away, teacher dread, and feelings of helplessness, humiliation, anger, and destruction at home and at school, as well as abuse and criminal activity. Lewis (1999) adds that adults who received corporal punishment as children are more likely to commit crimes, be aggressive with their partners, and spank their own children. He also links corporal punishment to higher aggression, lower levels of moral internalisation, and poorer mental health.

#### 4.2 The alternative punishments used to maintain students’ discipline in secondary schools

The researcher sought to find out the alternative punishment employed by teachers in controlling students’ discipline. The information obtained was summarized in the Table 6.

**Table 6:**

#### **Distribution of students’ responses on the alternative punishment employed in maintaining good discipline in school**

Statements	Very effective		Somewhat effective		Very ineffective		undecided			
	F	%	f	%	f	%	f	%		
1 Verbal warning	15	13.5	51	45.5	18	16.1	4	3.6	24	21.4
2 Suspension	1	0.9	10	8.9	26	23.2	51	45.5	24	21.4
3 Exclusion	1	0.9	29	25.9	26	23.2	11	9.8	45	40.2
4 Pinching	1	0.9	18	16.1	49	43.8	18	16.1	26	23.2
5 doing outside activities	1	0.9	18	16.1	49	43.8	18	16.1	26	23.2
6 removing privileges	33	29.5	1	0.9	37	33	41	36.6	-	-
7 fining	14	12.5	35	31.3	21	18.8	42	37.5	-	-

8	kneeling	12	10.7	51	45.5	20	17.9	29	25.9	-	-
9	Use assertive rules	58	51.8	12	10.7	27	24.1	15	13.4	-	-
10	Threats	7	6.3	22	19.6	38	33.9	34	30.4	11	9.8

Results in Table 6 indicates that among the alternative punishment used in schools verbal warning and kneeling were more effective in maintain good discipline. Majority (45.5%) of students' responses indicated that the later strategies were reported effective respectively. The use of assertive rules was another alternative punishment which received more responses (51.8%) as the most effective strategy to be used when dealing with students' discipline. The responses support the reality therapy theory which see teachers as responsible for guiding students to make choice of their own right behavior by making students sign an agreement to follow given rules otherwise the students will follow the negative consequences. Teachers must consider feelings of their own and those of the students without taking any one for granted. However it might take longer time to deal with students' indiscipline if the teacher is to ensure there is balance consideration of the feelings before controlling the students' discipline. Suspension (45.5%), removing privileges 36.6% fining 37.5% and threats 30.4% were rated very ineffective by majority of respondents in the maintenance of students discipline.

The researcher sought to find out the alternative punishment employed by teachers in controlling students' discipline. The information obtained was summarized in the Table 7.

**Table 7:**

**Distribution of Teachers' responses on the alternative punishment employed in maintaining good discipline in school**

Statements	Very effective		Effective		Somewhat effective		Very ineffective		undecided	
	F	%	f	%	F	%	f	%	f	%
1 Verbal warning	-	-	12	37.5	15	46.9	5	15.6	-	-
2 Suspension	4	12.5	11	34.4	17	53.1	-	-	-	-
3 Exclusion	-	-	-	-	19	59.4	2	6.3	11	34.4
4 Pinching	-	-	17	53.1	11	34.4	-	-	4	12.5
5 doing outside activities	7	21.9	13	40.6	9	28.1	3	9.4	-	-
6 removing privileges	19	59.4	4	12.5	8	25	-	-	5	15.6
7 fining	-	-	-	-	9	28.13	23	71.9	-	-
8 kneeling	-	-	9	28.1	15	46.9	8	25	-	-
9 Use assertive rules	14	43.8	11	34.4	7	21.9	-	-	-	-

10	Threats	-	-	6	18.8	10	31.3	6	18.8	10	31.3
----	---------	---	---	---	------	----	------	---	------	----	------

According to results in Table 7 the alternative punishments were regarded as effective by the teacher respondents. Doing outside activities was reported by 40.6% responses as effective, use of assertive rules was reported very effective by 43.8%, and 21.1% somewhat effective. This is in line with Businei (2012) who carried a study in Kenya and found that educators and parents require students to write statement describing the negative effects of their behavior or to apologize for mistakes they have done. It can be argued from these findings that the teachers may remove privileges as a strategy that received high ratings (59.4%) most effective. Fining was rated by the majority of teachers (71.9%) as very ineffective strategy while 28.1% said somewhat effective. None of the teachers regarded fining as the effective strategy to maintain good discipline of students. Exclusion was rated 59.4% as somewhat effective.

The responses obtained from interview with the heads of schools revealed that the most common method taken against incidences of repeated misbehavior was suspension from school. Other techniques pointed out included total dismissal from school and the use of corporal punishment. It implied in this response that, some of the methods, of solving disciplinary problems in schools are not necessarily AP or CP. Therefore the types of methods of solving disciplinary problems are determined as prevailing circumstances. It may also be argued that since the definition of indiscipline depends on the context in which misbehavior has occurred.

This objective has showed that the typical alternative punishments used in schools to preserve students' discipline were verbal warnings, suspension from school while participating in extracurricular activities, and removal of privileges. The use of corporal punishment and complete expulsion from school were two further methods mentioned. This comment emphasised that not all disciplinary problem-solving strategies in schools are inherently AP or CP. As a result, the procedures used to resolve disciplinary issues are decided by the current situation. The replies back up the reality therapy idea, which holds teachers accountable for assisting students in choosing the proper conduct by having them sign a contract promising to obey instructions or face the consequences. Without assuming anything about anyone, teachers must take into account their own feelings as well as the feelings of the students. However, if the instructor wants to make sure that there is a balance consideration of the sentiments before regulating the students' discipline, it could take longer to deal with the students' indiscipline.

#### **4.3 Effectiveness of Alternative punishments used by teachers in maintaining students' discipline in secondary schools**

The study sought to find out how effective were the alternative punishments used by the teachers. To gather this data, the study wanted to describe how students perceive the use of alternative punishment in school. The responses were summarized in table 8.

**Table 8**

## Students' perceptions about the use of alternative punishment in school

Statements	Strongly agree		agree		disagree		Strongly disagree		Undecided	
	F	%	F	%	f	%	f	%	f	%
1 Alternative punishment is best strategy to maintain good discipline	35	31.3	22	19.6	14	12.5	41	36.6	41	36.6
2 Ap is bad strategy	-	-	17	15.4	24	21.8	46	41.3	25	22.7
3 Ap creates friendship with students	14	12.5	58	51.8	10	8.9	-	-	30	26.8
4 Ap maintain good morals and academic standings	5	4.5	10	8.9	35	31.3	31	27.7	31	27.7
5 Ap make students afraid to breach school rules	-	-	31	27.7	30	26.8	23	20.5	28	25
6 Ap outcome last longer	25	22.3	31	27.7	10	8.9	24	21.4	22	19.6
7 Ap must be prohibited	25	22.3	-	-	47	42	22	19.6	18	16.4
8 Ap creates enmity among students and teachers	8	7.1	6	5.4	42	37.5	15	13.4	40	35.7
9 Ap cannot be used in large population	-	-	12	10.7	43	38.5	17	15.2	40	35.7
<b>10 Ap cannot be avoided in schools</b>	6	5.4	18	16.1	20	17.9	31	27.7	37	33.3
<b>11 Ap develops fear to students</b>	7	6.3	13	11.6	37	33.3	19	17.1	36	32.1

Table 8 contains results from the students whose perceptions on the use of AP in schools were identified and summarized. Although students seemed to be on dilemma in saying what they perceived about the use of alternative punishment in school, more than half of the respondents (41.1%) strongly disagreed, 21.4% disagreed that alternative punishments are bad strategies just as they responded for the corporal punishment in the previous analysis. Meanwhile large number of students, 31.3% strongly agreed and 19.6% agreed that AP is the best strategy for maintaining good discipline in school as compared to slightly small number, (12.5%) who disagreed and (36.6%) strongly disagreed. Majority of students (51.8%) agreed that Ap creates friendship among teachers and students, 12.5% strongly agreed. This goes hand in hand with majority students (37.5%) who disagreed that AP creates enmity among students and teachers and 33% students said AP does not develop fear to students.

Wolfgang (2005) emphasizes that it is important for teachers to alternate their disciplinary practices to suit a particular problem. This means that the teachers need to work on their "response predictability" or explore some other alternatives in order to change the behavior and get the desired behavior, that is, if the learner knows that if she behaves in this manner, then she will get this sanction, this sanction may no longer act as a deterrent to the learner so the teacher's response must change at times. An example is that if corporal punishment is used continuously, learners tend to get used to it, and as a way of retaliating, may vow not to show any emotion when the teacher is beating her, as showing an emotion is sometimes seen as a sign of weakness,

especially as far as boys are concerned. This suggests that the use of a variety of sanctions would probably prove more effective in the long run.

After describing how students perceived the use of alternative punishment in school the study sought to find out how effective were the alternative punishments used by the teachers and the responses collected were summarized the the respective tables

**Table 9**

**Distribution of students' responses about the effectiveness of alternative punishment in maintaining students discipline in school**

Statements	Most effective		effective		Not effective		Least effective		Undecided	
	f	%	F	%	f	%	f	%	f	%
1) Easy to administer	-	-	-	-	38	33.9	73	62.2	1	0.9
2) Promote self esteem	7	6.3	17	15.2	64	57.1	38	33.9	3	2.7
3) Create friendship	37	33.0	29	25.9	21	18.8	9	8.0	16	14.3
4) Make student understand his/her behavior	15	13.4	27	24.1	35	31.3	31	27.7	38	33.9
5) Allow shape of behavior even in absence of the teacher	18	16.1	43	38.4	3	2.7	29	25.9	34	30.4
6) Inculcate life skills	25	22.3	31	27.7	10	8.9	24	21.4	22	19.6
7) Build respect	50	44.6	36	32.1	16	14.3	-	-	10	8.9
8) Consider students culture traditions	46	41.1	21	18.8	16	14.3	10	8.9	19	17.0
9) Contingent to school environment	-	-	33	29.5	26	23.2	12	10.7	41	36.6
10) Retain students status quo	-	-	31	27.7	25	22.3	39	34.8	17	15.2
11) prevent students loosing lessons	29	25.9	69	61.6	12	10.7	-	-	2	1.8
12) Consider child's home background	-	-	22	19.6	46	41.1	23	20.5	20	17.9
13) Consider health of students	-	-	48	42.6	-	-	-	-	29	25.9
14) Make teachers strict	48	42.6	48	42.6	-	-	-	-	29	25.9

-	9	23	9	46	-	10	8.9	33	29.5
-			20.			41.			
			5			1			

Results in Table 9 indicate that students supported alternative punishment as effective in creating academic friendship among teachers and students. Half of the respondents supported alternative punishment to be used in school where 33% rated it as most effective and 25.9% said it is effective. Majority of students also supported that AP shape behavior of students even in the absence of the teacher represented by 38.4% said it is effective while 16.1 % said very effective. The responses were in line with Okunbe (1999) who found that an increase of arts of discipline means strategies and techniques of maintaining discipline in schools are effectively employed.

Other items in Table 9 which were highly supported by the students who took part in the study were AP inculcates life skills to students where 22.3% students said very effective, and 27.5% said effective. In building respects among students and teachers 44.6% said very effective and 32.1% said effective. Furthermore majority of students said AP considers students culture, (41.1%) said very effective and 18.8% said it is effective method. About preventing students from losing lessons 69% said very effective and 25.9% said effective. However, Ap was regarded as the method which is not easy to administer since 33.9% said it is ineffective and 62.5% said it is least effective. Promotion of self-esteem was also rated ineffective by 57.1% and least effective by 33.9%. The responses are contrary to Maphosa (2010) who argues that alternative punishment inculcate in learners with positive self-esteem which make them feel valued and independent in school and this helps to foster co-operation and responsibility. Positive discipline creates a climate that promotes self-discipline because the child has a positive self-esteem and is therefore better able to maintain self-control. This implies that students should realize that they are solely responsible for appropriate behavior. Discipline solely from a position of power teaches learners that they only have to behave when someone is around to punish them. About making teachers strict 41.1% said AP is not effective while 8.9% said very ineffective. Good discipline and learning are two aspects that depend on each other in order to bring effective outcomes in schools.

Table 10 shows the findings of the responses obtained from the respondents about the effectiveness of alternative punishment in maintaining students discipline in school.

**Table 10 Distribution of teachers’ responses on the effectiveness of alternative punishment in maintaining students discipline in school**

Statements	Most important		important		Not important		Least important		undecided	
	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%
1 Easy to administer	-	-	11	34.4	10	31.3	4	12.5	7	21.9
2. Promote self esteem	-	-	8	25.0	8	25.0	6	18.2	10	31.3
3. Create friendship	-	-	15	46.9	6	18.8	-	-	11	34.4

4. Make student understand his/her behavior	11	34.	6	18.	6	18.	3	9.4	6	18.
		4		8		8				8
5. Allow shape of behavior even in absence of the teacher	4	12.	14	43.	7	21.	-	-	7	21.
		5		8		9				9
6. Inculcate life skills	-	-	7	21.	-	-	12	37.	13	40.
				9				5		6
7. Build respect	-	-	-	-	11	34.	14	43.	7	21.
						4		8		9
8. Consider students culture traditions	1	3.1	13	40.	11	34.	-	-	7	21.
				6		4				9
9. Contingent to school environment	8	25	13	40.	6	18.	3	9.4	-	-
				6		8				
10. Retain students status quo	-	-	14	43.	2	6.3	-	-	16	50
				8						
11. prevent students losing lessons	4	12.	21	65.	-	-	-	-	7	21.
12. Consider child's home background		5		6						9
13. Consider health of students	6		26		-	-	-	-	-	
14. Make teachers strict		18.		81.						-
	9	8	14	3	-	-	-	-	9	
										28.
	-	28.	7	43.	9	28.	12	37.	4	1
		1		8		1		5		
										12.
				21.						5
				9						

Results in Table 10 indicate that except for easy administering of AP, promotion of self-esteem and making teachers strict which were rated ineffective. Apart from the results complying closely to teachers responses are also in line with Hassan (2012) who conducted a study in Zanzibar and found that, AP in schools under the alternative means to discipline project had higher scores (better results) for all four years than those pupils from schools not under the alternative means to discipline project. During two years of application of alternative means to discipline project, the results (scores) of schools under the alternative means to discipline project continued to be better compared to those of pupils in schools using CP as discipline instrument.

Administration of alternative punishments has been pointed as a factor (55%) that makes a particular technique effective. The use of alternative punishment has been repeated in many aspects concerning the maintenance of discipline in schools. School culture was reported also important factor 13(40.6%) while 8(25%) said very important in maintaining students discipline effectively. This finding on the effectiveness of alternative punishments complies with the study by Moshia (2009) that changing the school culture in order to adapt new changes may result problem in many organizations.

Furthermore, the researcher sought to find out the alternative strategies employed by the teachers in maintaining students' discipline. The responses were summarized in Tables 11.

**Table 11:**  
**Distribution of students' responses on the alternative punishment to be used in schools**

	Frequency (f)	Percentages (%)
Removing privileges like outings, watching TV	12	12.4
Use of verbal warning	22	22.7
Suspension	40	41.2
Students putting into writings that he/ she will follow rules	23	23.7

When students were asked about the alternative punishment which they would prefer to be used in school the responses in Table 11 were given out. The assertive rules were recommended by the majority (22.7%) of students. In assertive rules students have to put into writings any fault committed in school. Another AP suggested was verbal warning 22.7% and suspension 41.2%. Removing of privileges was given very low responses 12.4%. The results concur with the information from the heads of schools interviewed where it was revealed that the most common method taken against incidences of repeated misbehavior was suspension of students from school. Similarly, Busienei (2012) commented that teachers should impose no-physical disciplinary measures as an alternative to beatings. This implies that a teacher requires employing the alternative punishment such as requiring the students to write statements when they misbehave. Teachers may also require culprit to apologize for their mistakes in front the class so as to warn the students from misbehaving.

When asked their suggestion to avoid corporal punishment the heads of schools suggested that the number of students in classes should be reduced; the students should be provided with an economically, psychologically and physiologically secure life; they should be made aware of the importance of education in their future and be advised to act accordingly. They also pointed out the necessity of family education and integration programs.

**Table 12: Distribution of teachers' responses on the alternative punishment used in secondary schools**

	Frequency(f)	Percentages (%)
doing activities outside class	4	13.8
Suspension	5	17.2
removing privileges like sports, outings and watching movies	5	17.2

students putting into writing that he/she will follow rules	8	27.6
providing mentor	7	24.1

The teachers mostly complained about the cuprite of the students and their impertinence. They suggested that students should be given more activities to do (13.8%) in order to make them understand the importance of the school. They supported the teachers in their efforts to make the students more successful instead of wasting more time canning them when they do poorly at school as this would encourage them not to take school seriously. The necessity of providing mentors in schools was also highlighted with (24.25%). Teachers' findings Concurred with students' responses where the use of assertive rules (27.6%) was also suggested by the teachers and suspension (17.2%). Although teachers suggested removing of privileges 15.6% as one of the alternative privileges students did no support it as the suitable punishment. Similarly removing privileges like sports, outings and watching movies was suggested as the alternative strategy by the teachers represented by 17.2%.

Teachers had the suggestions that there should be in-service training on methods of classroom discipline. Most of teachers admitted that of knowledge on positive discipline methods, and how children learn. Teachers believed that corporal punishment was necessary and effective in managing school discipline. These findings are in agreement with Muneja (2013) who pointed out that, the majority of students and teachers were unaware of the national laws restricting corporal punishment in schools. It can be deduced from this response that there are different responses from teachers and students. The different responses may be due to the nature of the respondents. One is a student who would like defend their rights to get news while the other is a guardian/teacher whose position is more authoritative than the student. It can therefore be argued that the two had two different interests to defend.

The findings from this objective's analysis showed conclusively that educational stakeholders view alternative punishment as the most efficient means of upholding discipline in secondary schools. Many facets of maintaining discipline in schools have been documented to involve alternative punishment. According to reports, school culture is a key component of successfully maintaining student discipline. This conclusion on the efficacy of alternative sanctions is consistent with a study by Mosha (2009) that found that altering school culture to accommodate new developments may cause issues in many organisations. The findings are in accordance with those of Hassan (2012), who conducted research in Zanzibar and discovered that alternative punishment was seen as the most effective method of project discipline.

## 5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The conclusion is based on the overall findings of the study while the recommendations are based on both the findings and conclusion of the study.

### Conclusion

Based on the findings of this study, it is concluded that educational stakeholders in Bagamoyo district perceive alternative punishment as effective in maintaining discipline in secondary

schools in Bagamoyo district. Educational stakeholders are familiar of Corporal punishment, they perceive it as not bad strategy but also not the best strategy especially when used as the only strategy. Alternative punishments are employed by teachers not as often as compared to Corporal punishment. Verbal warning, summoning parents, doing outside activities, kneeling down, and the use of assertive rules are mostly preferred by the teachers as mode of Alternative punishment in secondary schools in Bagamoyo district.

### **Recommendation**

Teachers' trainee should adequately equipped with alternative methods of controlling students' indiscipline. They should be taught the importance of alternative punishment. Additionally, there is needed for the government to deliberately organize seminars, conferences, workshops and other symposiums where experts can be invited to induct teachers on the modern issues and changes in education as far as discipline is concerned.

The ministry through District Education officers should cooperate with school leadership to organize seminars, conferences, workshops and other symposium where experts can be invited to talk to teachers on the modern ways and changes in education as far as discipline is concerned. Moreover, heads of secondary schools should keep in touch with parents through parents meetings, seminars and letters to make them aware of the use of alternative punishment.

## REFERENCES

- Anayo, A.I (2014) *Strategies Adopted by Teachers to Manage Discipline in Secondary schools at Langata District, Nairobi*. Unpublished Report M.Ed Catholic University
- Ayieko. (1998). *Solving Discipline in Kenyan Secondary Schools*. Unpublished Med. Thesis, Kenyatta University.
- Baumrind, Larzelere, and Cowan (2002), Holden (2002), and Parke (2002)"*Psychological Bulletin*, 128(4):602–611.
- Busiene ,J.A (2012) *Alternative Methods to Corporal Punishment and their Efficacy*.Eldorate
- Blandford, S(2002,sptember12) *Managing professional Development in schools*. London: Routledge. Retrieved February 25, 2017 from [www.questia.com/pm](http://www.questia.com/pm).
- Chandran,L(2004,Nov5)*Target population*. Journal j-SIAM-j-DISR, vol.18,1,177—194,2004 retrieved February 25, 2017 from <http://embs.siam.org/sam/bin/bq/sidma/18/1>
- Chireshe, R. (2006). *An Assessment of the effectiveness of school Guidance and Counselling Services in Zimbabwean Secondary schools*.PhD Dissertation.University of South Africa.
- Cotton, K. (1990, December 10) *Educational Time Factors*. Portland: Northwest Regional Educational Laboratory, Retrieved February 20, 2017 from the world wide [www.nwnet.org/scpd/sirs/5/cu9.htm](http://www.nwnet.org/scpd/sirs/5/cu9.htm)
- Creswell, J.W. (2009).*Research Design Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches*. GE Publication, India
- Curwin, R. L. & Mendler, A. N. (1999). *Discipline With Dignity*. Alexandria, Virginia: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development
- Curwin, R.L., Mendler, A.N. & Mendler, B.D.(2008).*Discipline With Dignity: New Challenges, New solutions*
- Darling Hammondi (2000, May 15<sup>th</sup>) *Alternative to punishment*. Retrieved Feb 3, 2014from [www.tcrecord.org/AuthorDisplay.asp?aid=17501](http://www.tcrecord.org/AuthorDisplay.asp?aid=17501)
- Dauso, A.T (2010, Sept 10). *The physical punishment in Ghana*.University of Sheffield. UK. Retrieved February 27, 2017 from [www icyrnet.net/.../Children Perceptions\\_of\\_%20Physical\\_%20Punishm](http://www icyrnet.net/.../Children Perceptions_of_%20Physical_%20Punishm)

- Dreikurs, R. (1985 Nov, 5<sup>th</sup>), *Psychology in the classroom*, (2nd edition), Harper and Row, New York. Retrieved February 25, 2017 from [www.worldcat.org/identities/np-dreikurs](http://www.worldcat.org/identities/np-dreikurs),
- Elbla, A. I. F., (2012). *Is punishment (corporal or verbal) an effective means of discipline in schools?: Case study of two basic schools in Greater Khartoum/Sudan*. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 69, 1656-1663
- Gay, L. R (2006), *Educational Research: competencies for analysis and application*, New Jersey, Pearson Education Inc.
- Gershoff, E. T. (2002, Nov 12) *Corporal punishment by parents and associated child behaviors and experiences: A meta-analytic and theoretical review*. *Psychological Bulletin*, 128, 539–579. Retrieved February 23, 2017 from [www](http://www.apa.org).
- Gershoff, E.T. and Bitensky, S.H. (2007). The Case against Corporal Punishment of Children: Evidence from Social Science Research and International Human Rights Law and Implications for US Public Policy. *Psychology, Public Policy and Law Journal* 13(4). 231-272
- Gichuru, J. (2004). *A Study on the Impact on the Ban of Corporal Punishment on Discipline of Students in Public Secondary Schools in Kikuyu division*. Unpublished Thesis, University of Nairobi.
- Griffin, G (1996). *School Discipline*, Nairobi. MacMillan Publishers.
- Greydanus, E.D (2003). *Corporal punishment in schools*. Center for Effective Discipline: Discipline at School (NCACPS: U.S.: Corporal Punishment and Paddling
- Hassan, H. (2012). *Effects of Corporal Punishment on Academic Performance and Discipline of Primary School Schools in Zanzibar*. Unpublished MA Dissertation: the University of Dodoma .
- Horn, I. (2004). Discipline in the African American community: The impact of socioeconomic status on beliefs and practices. *Pediatrics*, 113, 1236-1241.
- Hyman, I. A. & Perone, D.C. (1998). *The other side of school violence: Educator policies and practices that may contribute to student misbehavior*. *Journal of School Psychology*, 36(1), 7–27.

- Johnson, T. 2004. Gender Series; The abuse of Nairobi school children; Population communication Africa, in O'Sullivan, (2005), Corporal punishment in Kenya,
- Kelley, M. 1992. Determinants of disciplinary practices in low-income black mothers. *Child Development*, 63, 573-582.
- Kindiki, J. N. (2009). Effectiveness of Communication on Students Discipline in Secondary Schools in Kenya. *Education Research Review vol.4 (5) pp. 252- 259.*
- Kimani, G.N., Kara, A.M. and Ogetange, T.B. (2011). Teachers and pupils view on persistent use of corporal punishment in managing discipline in primary schools in Starehe division, Kenya. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science. Vol. 2 No. 19[Special Issue- October2012] pgs 268-274.*
- Kothari, C.R. (2004). *Research methodology: methods and techniques*. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, New Delhi: New Age International (P) LTD Publishers.
- Lewis, R. (1999). *Preparing students for democratic citizenship*. Educational research and Evaluation, 5(1), 41-61.
- Makwanya, P. ., Moyo, W. ., & Nyenya, T. . (2012). Perceptions of the Stakeholders towards the Use of Corporal Punishment in Zimbabwean Schools: A Case Study of Bulawayo. *International Journal of Asian Social Science*, 2(8), 1231–1239.
- Magnuson, K.A. and Waldfogal, J. (2005). Preschool childcare and parents use of physical discipline. *Infant and Child Development*, 14, 177-198.
- Maphosa(2010,May15th) *Educators Discipline*. Retrieved Jan 21, 2014 from [www.sajournalofeducation.co.za](http://www.sajournalofeducation.co.za) > ... > Vol 30, No 3 (2010) > MaphosaSouth African journal vol30.387-399)
- Mosha, H.J. (2009). “*Improving Efficiency and Quality “Basic Education*, in UNESCO (2008-2009) Tanzania and UNESCO Magazine (No. 5: 37-47).
- Mkumbo, K. K. (2002). *Management and Resolutions of Violent Intra students Conflicts in Institutions of Higher Learning in Tanzania*. Unpublished Master dissertation: University of Dar es salaam.
- Mtsweni, J. (2008). *The role of Education in the management of schools discipline*. Unpublished masters thesis. University of South Africa.
- Muneja (2013, Nov 25<sup>th</sup>). *Teachers Experiences of disciplinary Measure in Tanzania*.

- University of Arusha Tanzania Retrieved February 26, 2017 from [www.journal of education](http://www.journalofeducation.org) vol.4
- Mutie, E. K. & Ndambuki, P. (2004). *Guidance and counselling for schools and colleges*. Nairobi: Oxford University Press.
- Ndembu, J.K. (2013). *Alternative strategies to CP and school students discipline*. Nairobi
- Ngussa and Mdalingwa, (2017). *Students' Perception on Corporal Punishment and its Effect on Learning: A Case of Secondary Schools in Babati Rural District, Tanzania*.
- Okumbe, J. (1999) *Educational Management: Kenya*. Nairobi: University Press.
- Onderi, H.L.N. & Odera, F.Y. (2012, Sept 15) *discipline as a tool for effective school management*. Retrieved (Jan 15, 2014) from [www.interestjournal.org/EN](http://www.interestjournal.org/EN). Vol 3(9) pp. 710-716
- Robinson, D.H., Funk, D., Beth, A., and Bush, A. M. 2005. *Changing beliefs about corporal punishment: Increasing knowledge about ineffectiveness to build more consistent moral and informational beliefs*. *Journal of Behavioral Education*, 14 (2),
- Rutondoki, E. N. (2000). *Guidance and Counselling*. Makerere University, Institute of Adult and Continuing Education.
- Simatwa, E.M.W. (2012). Management of student discipline in Secondary schools in Kenya, a case Study of Bungoma County. *Educational Research (ISSN: 2141-5161) Vol. 3(2) pp. 172-189*.
- Stewart, D. (2004). Learner Discipline: An Australian Perspective. *Koers*, 69(2), 317- 335.
- Ouma, M.A; Simatwa, E.M.W and Serem, T.D.K. (2014). Management of pupil discipline in Kenya: A Case Study of Kisumu Municipality. *Educational Research (ISSN: 2141-5161) Vol. 4(5) pp. 374-386*
- Simatwa, M.N. (2012). *Management of student discipline in secondary schools*. Educational research (ISSN: 2141-5161) Vol. 3(2) pp. 172-189 Feb 2012
- Smith, N. (1998). *Management of Conflicts by principals in selected Soshanguve Secondary Schools*. Department of Education Studies: Tswane University of Technology
- Tattum, D (1989). *Disruptive Pupils in Schools and Units*. New York: John Wiley and Sons Limited.
- United Republic of Tanzania (URT) (1995), *Education and training policy*. Dar es salaam: Adult Education Press.
- Wambura, E.I. (2010). *Effectiveness of Alternative Disciplinary Strategies in the absence of Corporal Punishment in Secondary Schools in Kajiado North District Kenya*. University of Nairobi: Unpublished MED Project.

Ward, R.D. (2007). *Discipline Practices used to control disruptive Behaviour of students*.  
Unpublished PhD Thesis. Virginia.

Warioba, N. (2012, August 21<sup>st</sup>). *Corporal punishment in school setting*. Retrieved (Nov 5, 2013) from [http://nyamoniwarioba.blogspot.com/2012/08/corporal-punishment-in-school- settings.html](http://nyamoniwarioba.blogspot.com/2012/08/corporal-punishment-in-school-settings.html)

Waseif , H.N(2011) *Corporal punishment in school*. Thesis in Public Policy and Administration Department in partial .Retrieved February 28, 2017 from <http://nyamoniwarioba.blogspot.com/2012/08/corporal-punishment-in-school- settings.html>

UNDER PEER REVIEW