

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_94083
Title of the Manuscript:	Environmental Gradient: An ecological surrogate to phytosociological diversity of a tropical mangal-rainforest-ecotone in a hydromesic tripartite plant community.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>All plant species must be accompanied by the author descriptor. see all cases</p> <p>Inform the ethical aspects involved in the research. Authorizations for access to areas and for carrying out the study</p> <p>Explain why to use two diversity indices that are influenced by sampling effort. If using, choose one of them. However, I recommend using a more suitable analysis in this case, rarefaction analysis.</p> <p>Review all cases of significance level. $p = 0.5$ is not significant, but $p < 0.05$. The way it is presented does not allow understanding what is significant and what is not.</p> <p>Add a paragraph informing the contribution of this study.</p> <p>Do the results help in environmental conservation? Serve as a basis for other studies? what are they for? for whom?</p> <p>Do they assist the government in establishing public management and conservation policies? Authors need to indicate this.</p> <p>Update references. Most are old, more than five years old since publication</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	See comments	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Junir Antônio Lutinski
Department, University & Country	UNOCHAPECÓ, Brazil