

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_94628
Title of the Manuscript:	SARS-CoV-2 Pandemic's Impact on Stock Market of Bangladesh: An Exploratory Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Avoid Acronyms in the title(SARS-CoV-2)- Write in a along form • The abstract need to be improved as suggested in the document. Include sample size, key significant variables need to be specified, implication and recommendations are missing. • On the background- the problem is not grounded from global perspective (worldwide), then narrowed to Bangladesh. • There are many abbreviations/ acronyms which need to be written in their long form, if used for the first time. • What is known and not known? That you intend to do?? It is not coming up clearly. • The Literature Review – lack theoretical background- No any theory used. • The sample size-number of observations are not included • The finding and discussion section need to be improved • The implication of the finding is too general • The recommendation section need to be included. • The limitations are not significant, unless justified in connection with their impact to the finding of this study. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Line spacing should be observed • Avoid too much use of abbreviations/acronyms in the document for the reader to understand the concept easily. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The paper is good but limited to time considered. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anastasia R. Njiku
Department, University & Country	The University of Dodoma, Tanzania