

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_94288
Title of the Manuscript:	Bankruptcy Prediction for Cement Industry in India Using Altman Z Score Model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ The topic of the research is interesting. However, the author does not support the research with enough previous work or literature. The author should start by explaining briefly and justifying it with the state of scientific knowledge, using appropriate references, and what is already known about this subject.➤ The Altman-Z Score Model has been extensively used in research in this area. But the author only uses a few papers as references. Also, some works of literature are outdated. The author should use more updated references.➤ The variable is not clearly defined and explained. The author should clearly justify the variables using the literature.➤ The criteria for sample selection are not clear. The author should briefly explain why convenience sampling techniques are used. On what basis did the author select these 10 cement factories out of 50?➤ The author sometimes uses unnecessary abbreviations (for example, "FY").➤ Professional language proofreading and formatting corrections are also recommended.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eliyas Ebrahim Aman
Department, University & Country	University Sopron, Hungary