

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_94288
Title of the Manuscript:	Bankruptcy Prediction for Cement Industry in India Using Altman Z Score Model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The comments regarding the results for Z-Altman score of every company, should be more detailed. Should be enriched with more info and suggestions especially when Z-score belongs to the Gray Area.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Very good paper and presentation. Very good structure (scoping review, discussion) with a direct reference to the literature. Concise presentation of the findings. Good argumentation of the data. However, all the paper has a (lets say) simplified analysis of the Z-score results. More specifically, the researcher should enrich with more analysis the cases where the score is in Gray Area. It can give explanations why, for example, in the cases where the Z-scores for some companies and in some years, although were < 1.81, the companies satisfied and didn't go bankruptcy. What were the main drivers of their succeed.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Athanasios Panagopoulos
Department, University & Country	University of Macedonia, Greece