

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_90152
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation and comparison of anti-bacterial efficacy of commercially available various ayurvedic mouth ulcer gels- An in-vitro study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript draws attention to a study evaluating the effectiveness of commercially available gels on mouth ulcers caused by the etiological agent Streptococcus mutans.</p> <p>The sections of the manuscript are very well written, each respecting the drafting criteria.</p> <p>The Introduction section is impressive, in which the authors presented very well the condition produced by Streptococcus mutans. The forms of oral ulcer or aphthous stomatitis, the prevalence in the population, the factors that trigger this disease and the recommended treatment, are well highlighted in this section.</p> <p>The research methods are presented in detail.</p> <p>The results show that of the studied gels (SMYLE GEL, MUCOPAIN GEL, HIMALAYA HIORA-SG GEL, COLO MOUTH ULCER GEL and CURE NEXT GEL), the HIMALAYA HIORA-SG GEL has the best efficiency in treating and healing mouth ulcers. These results are well presented both in the table and in the figures in the manuscript.</p> <p>The discussions are relevant in comparing the results of the study with other reports in the field.</p> <p>In general, the manuscript provides a pleasant, easy reading, it is cursive and is of interest to specialists in the field of dentistry and even maxillofacial surgery.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The language is appropriate	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stan Florin Gheorghe
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Cluj Napoca, Romania