

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_94370
Title of the Manuscript:	Double appendix: A rare case entity
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The author shall elaborate</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Out of A,B and C, which one was this variety? 2. Label the diagram correctly showing which one is normal and which one is considered duplicate and why? 3. Double appendix is diagnosed preoperatively, so how can it be detected pre-operatively? 4. Are the complications of double appendix different from the normal appendicitis that make it life threatening. <p>Rest it's a Nice case report and there are spelling mistakes, that need be corrected.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wajid Ali Chatha
Department, University & Country	Northern Border University, Saudi Arabia