

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_94354
Title of the Manuscript:	Rare case of Vaginal Leiomyoma – A diagnostic challenge to surgeons and gynecologists
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Review of literature should be an important aspect of this paper Vaginal leiomyomas are uncommon but not the first case report It is always better to include various case reports and how they were managed and discuss the ideal management rather than just presentation of the case only</p> <p>Why surgeons chose laparoscopic approach since the mass is so well below as shown in MRI? Approach to this mass would have been perineal first followed by abdominal aid if needed</p> <p>Was the duration of symptoms just 3-4 months? What were the number of mitotic copies???</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Include arrows in picture of MRI to show the mass	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rohitha Chelvaraju
Department, University & Country	All India Institute of Medical Sciences, India