

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_94301
Title of the Manuscript:	GIST REVEALED BY SMALL BOWEL VOLVULUS: CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Introduction – kindly mention the full form of GIST in the first instance followed by shorthand in brackets.2. Case presentation – what was the size and location of the mass and what extent of bowel resection was carried out ? were there any other synchronous masses and were any attempts made to identify those ?3. What was the status of the surgical margins in the pathological specimen?4. Were any nodes harvested ?5. What was the surgical staging of the tumor ? and risk category? In short was the adjuvant therapy justified ?6. Discussion - Are there any similar reports or other varied presentations of GIST previously in literature ? The authors' literature review seems a bit inadequate.7. Conclusion – the last line on duration of chemotherapy – is 3 years standard duration for all cases ? IF not, the sentence may be safely omitted from the conclusion section	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The referencing style in the article is not homogenous. Please review and modify as per the journal guidelines. For eg. In some places, the reference number has been mentioned before the full stop and in some after.2. References – The references 4 and 9 have not been cited correctly	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajat Choudhari
Department, University & Country	Kasturba Medical College, India