

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_94684
Title of the Manuscript:	A CASE REPORT ON PRES AND HELLP SYNDROME IN 08 MONTHS AMONEHERRIC WOMEN.
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrmh.com/index.php/AJCRMH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The title needs a major revision as what's written is not good enough to attract the reader to read the case report.</li> <li>2. The abstract and the introduction need major revision as there are a lot of repetitive information, incoherent sentences and a lot of English language mistakes</li> <li>3. The case report needs a major modification "presentation of the patient to the hospital such as the presentation of the pregnancy? was it a concealed pregnancy or she is known to be pregnant then presented with seizure – I quote (The patient was, 33 weeks amenorrhic with IUFD. Since last two three days, the patient had swelling on both the legs, and was treated for the same on OPD basis), what happened after the provisional the diagnoses ? vaginal delivery , needed intubation ?</li> <li>4. Major Medical terminologies such as (2nd miscarriage -the baby died inside the womb due to the comorbidities), (Spine/Extremities showed Stiffening of hands – There is a scale for neurological examination including power, tone and etc.</li> <li>5. The physical examination needs major changes in the way it's written and presented</li> <li>6. The discussion and the conclusion lack of information.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kareem Haloub
Department, University & Country	University of Melbourne , Australia