

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_92729
Title of the Manuscript:	The Commonness of Rarity!
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrmh.com/index.php/AJCRMH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- ABSTRACT: check all the results in red (first line, the malaria entity is capitalized. Second line, the name of the genus is written in full when <i>Plasmodium</i> is first plasminated and is in italics, as is the name of the species, which needs to be revised and amended in the next line with the two species).-INTRODUCTION: without the two semicolons in the section. Review everything highlighted in red and amend, with emphasis on line ten, as specific names are lowercase and italicized.- Case Presentation: without the two semicolons in the section. Review the scientific name highlighted in red, as there are errors in it.- Discussion: revise and amend all scientific names highlighted in red, as they are italicized, and in the case of the underlined genus, it is misspelled. Incorrect annotation, the correct form is [4-7].-References: very few references (N=11) for such an important topic, and about which much has been written and published. Of 11 references, only one corresponds to the last five years (9.09%), and in the Introduction section, only one author is referenced.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rigoberto Fimia Duarte
Department, University & Country	University of Medical Sciences of Villa Clara, Cuba