



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1. The title of the manuscript doesn't match with the contents of the subject, especially, there is no experimental data on 'therapeutics effect of Costus root extract', and therefore, needed modification of the title.</p> <p>2. The dose of CuONPs varies in 'Methodology of Abstract' and 'Experimental design of Material and Methods' i.e. 100 mg/kg b wt/day or 400 mg/kg b wt/day.</p> <p>3. There are few typo mistakes, such as, Line 30, essentialtrace Line 31, metabolicand Line 59, CuONps Line 132, glomruli Line 171, as as</p> <p>4. Line 75 and 170, there are no experimental data of chloride ions in sera mentioned in the text of 'Assessment of serum kidney function and electrolytes tests', and 'Conclusion'.</p> <p>5. Table 2 presents the data of Phosphorus, but it's not mentioned in the text. Moreover, the level of K<sup>+</sup> is low for CuONPs which is contrary to the presentation in the text (lines 91, 92 and 157).</p> <p>6. References are not formatted appropriately by the guidelines.</p>	<p>Thanks, this is correct; we change the title to become (Copper Oxide Nanoparticles Induced Liver and Kidney Toxicity in Rat)</p> <p>Thanks, this is correct; [CuO NPs (400 mg/kg body weight/day) intraperitoneally for 4 weeks] in abstract and material and methods.</p> <p>Thanks, we made all request in our revised version.</p> <p>Thanks, this is correct; we made all request in our revised version.</p> <p>Thanks, we correct it.</p> <p>Thanks, we correct it.</p>