

Effects of Two Months Durational Vitamin E Therapy on Paraquat-Inflicted Liver

Damage in Wistar Rats (*Rattus norvegicus*)

Abstract

Paraquat is a highly poisonous chemical that is commonly used as a herbicide (plant killer) to control weeds and grasses. It has been found to disrupt the levels and activities of some liver enzyme parameters. This experimental study was aimed at assessing the effect of Vitamin E in restoring liver physiology in paraquat-induced liver poisoning in rats. In this study, 200 male rats with a mean weight of 0.20.02kg, with 50 rats in each group of four, labeled A, B, C, and D. The "A" group received no paraquat, while the "B", "C", and "D" groups received 0.02g, 0.04g, and 0.06g of paraquat per kilogram of rat every two weeks for three months respectively. Each group also had a subgroup treated only with vitamin E and the vit. E + paraquat subgroup B_{VE}, C_{VE}, and D_{VE} but A_{VE} was treated with paraquat but only Vit E. The blood was taken and the liver function was assayed. The result showed that there was a significant dose-dependent increase (P -value<0.05) in the levels of the levels of liver enzymes (SGOT, SGPT, ALP and GGT) in the subgroups treated with paraquat alone (A₀, B₀, C₀ and D₀). After treatment with vit E, the result revealed that there a significant decrease (P -value<0.05) in the level of the liver enzymes when compared to the corresponding subgroups without vit. E treatment. This study has shown that vit E can ameliorate the effect of paraquat liver poisoning particularly when the treatment is administered weekly for two months.

Key words: Paraquat, liver enzymes, vitamin E, toxicity

INTRODUCTION

Paraquat is a highly poisonous chemical that is commonly used as a herbicide (plant killer) to control weeds and grasses [1,2]. Paraquat is typically sold as a liquid in various strengths in the United States. Paraquat is classified as "restricted use" by the US Environmental Protection Agency. This means that only licensed applicators are allowed to use it [1,3].

In the United States, paraquat is one of the causes of poisoning and has been linked high number of mortality [4,5]. Paraquat causes cellular damage in various organs by causing lipid peroxidation, activation of NF- κ B, mitochondrial damage, and apoptosis [6]. Jacob *et al.*, (2019) in their study reported that inhalation of paraquat could bring about the damage of the lungs and result to the disease paraquat lung [7]. Ingestion of paraquat has also been reported to be the lead cause of damages to the linings of the mouth, stomach, intestine, liver, kidney, and esophagus while long term exposure could lead to lung scarring and even death [7]. According to the

research by Gawarammana et al., (2011) and Suntres (2002), paraquat causes damages to the organs of the body through the generation of the superoxide anion, which can lead to the formation of more toxic reactive oxygen species like hydrogen peroxide and hydroxyl radical; and the oxidation of cellular NADPH, which is the major source of reducing equivalents for the intracellular reduction of paraquat, which disrupts important NADPH-requiring biochemical processes [6,8]. Respiratory failure caused by an oxidative assault to the alveolar epithelium, followed by obliterating fibrosis, is the leading cause of death in paraquat overdose [8].

Paraquat has been found to disrupt the levels and activities of some liver enzyme parameters such as alanine transaminase, aspartate aminotransferase, alkaline phosphatase levels, and Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 gene expression [9, 10, 11]. Paraquat also caused a decline in the total antioxidant capacity, total thiol group levels, Glutathione S-transferases, heme oxygenase 1 and led to histological injuries to the tissues of the liver according to a study by Kheiripour *et al.*, (2021) [9].

Vitamin E was discovered in the 1920s and is an important nutrient. For nearly a century, scientists have examined several of vitamin E's physiological roles, including its antioxidative actions [12]. Alterations in redox balance mediated by internally and externally generated free radicals are implicated in a numerous disorders and are also a process that is thought to be necessary for survival. Due to its high concentration among the lipid soluble vitamin groups, vitamin E is known to control redox balance in the body and is found throughout the body, including cell membranes and lipoproteins [12]. In studies conducted by Watanabe *et al.*, (1986) and Harada *et al.*, (1991), it was noted that vit. E was able to limit the impact of paraquat and to restore the normal activities of liver enzyme parameters after a period of time [13,14]. In this study, we aim to discover the ameliorative effect of vitamin E of paraquat induced toxicity on liver enzyme parameters after a two month period of treatment.

Materials and Method

Experimental Design

This chronic experimental study required the use of 200 male albino rats with a mean weight of 0.20.02kg. Four groups of 50 rats were formed from the 200 rats. There were four groups: A, B,

C, and D. The "A" group received no paraquat, while the "B", "C", and "D" groups received 0.02g, 0.04g, and 0.06g of paraquat per kilogram of rat every two weeks for three months, respectively. Within each of the basic categories, there were subclasses. In the "A" group, there were "A₀" and "A_{VE}" subgroups; in the "B" group, there were "B₀" and "B_{VE}" subgroups; in the "C" group, there were "C₀" and "C_{VE}" subgroups; and in the "D" group, there were "D₀" and "D_{VE}" subgroups. The "A₀," "B₀," "C₀," and "D₀" subgroups did not receive vitamin E, while the "A_{VE}," "B_{VE}," "C_{VE}," and "D_{VE}" subgroups received it every week for two months after paraquat inducement. The blood was taken and the liver function was checked.

Animal source

200 rats weighing an average of 0.20.02kg were furnished by the Animal House, Department of Biology, Rivers State University of Science and Technology. The rats were brought to the study site and given two weeks to adapt before the trial began. The study was conducted at the Department of Medical Laboratory Science at Rivers State University of Science and Technology.

Treatment Administration

Procedure for Paraquat Administration

Administration of toxicant was via oral gavage route. The dose depended on the treatment group but in all, the treatment was performed every two weeks for three months.

The rats were held at the skin over the head and turned so that the mouth was faced upward and the body lowered towards the holder. The syringe needle bevel was then placed into the mouth of the rat a bit laterally in a way to avoid the teeth which are located centrally. The content in the syringe was then emptied into the mouth of the rat gradually [15].

Procedure for Vitamin Administration

Vitamin E was given orally every week for two month at doses of 500mg [15].


Sample Collection method

Liver function test was performed using a blood sample. A heart puncture was used to extract 2ml of blood, which was then poured into simple bottles with the help of a syringe and needle.

After the blood had coagulated, the serum was extracted by spinning it at 4000rpm. SGOT, SGPT, ALP and GGT levels were all measured in the serum.

Serum glutarate-oxaloacetate-aminotransferase (AST/SGOT) method: by Reitman and Frankel, 1957.

Principle: AST is incubated at 37°C for precisely 60 minutes in a pH 7.5 buffered substrate containing aspartate and -ketoglutarate. AST transfers the amino group from aspartate to ketoglutarate, resulting in oxaloacetate and glutamate. Oxaloacetate reacts with 2, 4-dinitrophenylhydrazine in an alkaline environment to produce 2, 4-dinitrophenylhydrazone, a red-brown compound. The absorbance of a particular color is measured spectrophotometrically at 540nm.




Procedure: For the enzyme's activity evaluation, 0.5mL buffered-L-aspartate and -oxoglutarate solutions were added to two glass tubes labeled 'Reagent Blank' and 'Test,' respectively, followed by 0.1mL each of distilled water and sample, mixed, and incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C. Then 0.5mL of 2, 4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (2mmol/L) solution was added to each test tube, mixed again, and allowed to stand for exactly 20 minutes at 20 – 25°C. 5.0mL sodium hydroxide (0.4mol/L) was added at the end of the session to promote color development at alkaline pH. The 'Test' (Atest) tube's absorbance was compared to the 'Reagent blank' tube's after 5 minutes.

Calculation: From the table of values previously plotted against activities, determine the activity of the enzyme AST in the serum. The assay is hampered by hemolysis.

Serum glutarate-pyruvic-aminotransferase (SGPT) by Reitman and Frankel, 1957.

Principle: ALT is incubated for exactly 60 minutes at 37°C in a pH 7.5 buffered substrate containing L-alanine and -ketoglutarate. ALT catalyzes the amino group transfer from alanine to ketoglutarate, resulting in the production of pyruvate and glutamate. In an alkaline media, pyruvate reacts with 2, 4-dinitrophenylhydrazine to form 2, 4-dinitrophenylhydrazone, which has a red-brown color. The absorbance of the color produced is measured spectrophotometrically at 540nm.



Procedure: To assess the enzyme's activity, 0.5mL buffered-L-alanine and -oxoglutarate solution were added to two glass tubes labeled 'Reagent Blank' and 'Test,' respectively, followed by 0.1mL each of distilled water and sample, mixed, and incubated at 37°C for exactly 30 minutes. Then 0.5mL of 2, 4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (2mmol/L) solution was added to each test tube, mixed again, and allowed to stand for exactly 20 minutes at 20 – 25°C. 5.0mL sodium hydroxide (0.4mol/L) was added at the end of the session to promote color development at alkaline pH. The 'Test' (Atest) tube's absorbance was compared to the 'Reagent blank' tube's after 5 minutes.

Calculation: Determine the activity of the enzyme ALT in the serum by using the table of values previously plotted against activities. Haemolysis interferes with the assay.

Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) method by Englehardt, *et al.*, 1970.

Principle: The concentration of p-nitrophenol generated with p-nitrophenolphosphate is monitored to assess ALP in this revised standard technique.

Procedure: Fresh double distilled water (ddH₂O) was aspirated and used to perform a new Gain calibration in flow cell mode. The equipment from the last sample run has been reset to zero. A water blank test was performed before pouring 0.02 mL of sample and 1.0 mL of reagent (Diethanolamine buffered p-nitrophenylphosphate) into a test tube and mixing for 2 minutes. The mixture was then fed into the Rx Monza. After about 2 minutes, the test sample result was written out using a printer connected to the machine.

This procedure of using machine is beneficial in that about 200 samples can be run and their results ready in 1hr using S. I. unit = IU/L.

Manual calculation: The formula: $\text{IU/L} = 2760 \times \Delta A_{405 \text{ nm/min}}$ is used in the manual calculation of ALP.

Gamma-Glutamyltransferase (GGT) method: (Szasz and Bergmeyer, 1974 and Teitz, 1987)

Principle: The substrate L-V-glutamyl-3-carboxy-4-nitroanilide is transformed by GGT in the sample to 5-amino-2-nitrobenzoate in the presence of glycylglycine, which can be read spectrophotometrically at 405nm.



L-V-glutamylglycylglycine + 5-amino-2-nitrobenzoate.

Procedure: In a cuvette, 0.1ml sample and 1.0ml reagent (Buffered Glycylglycerine and L-gammaglutamyl-3-carboxy-4-nitrolide) were poured, mixed, and the first absorbance read at 400–420nm while the timer was started. The absorbance was checked again after 1, 2, and 3 minutes.

Calculation: IU/L = 1158 X ΔA (405nm/minute).

RESULT

The intergroup comparison of liver enzymes parameters for all subgroups treated with paraquat after 2 months is shown below in **Table 1.0**. This result shows the toxicity impact of paraquat on the liver enzymes of the rats studied. A₀ being the control group was not treated with paraquat, while B₀, C₀, and D₀ were treated and the results were found to have statistical significant difference, $P \leq 0.05$ in SGOT, SGPT, ALP, and GGT, while A₀ group was constant after the three months treatment period.

Table 1.0 *Intergroup comparison of liver enzymes after three month treatment period.*

Subgroup	SGOT (IU/L)	SGPT (IU/L)	ALP (IU/L)	GGT (IU/L)
A ₀	2.20 ± 0.04	2.52 ± 0.08	11.25 ± 0.30	13.63 ± 0.38
B ₀	15.35 ± 0.22 ^a	10.95 ± 0.09 ^a	53.44 ± 1.12 ^a	32.00 ± 0.56 ^a
C ₀	66.22 ± 1.68 ^a	134.88 ± 2.34 ^a	82.00 ± 1.75 ^a	42.67 ± 0.99 ^a
D ₀	99.50 ± 2.43 ^a	155.67 ± 3.69 ^a	318.17 ± 3.90 ^a	65.00 ± 1.37 ^a

Statistical significance: $P \leq 0.05$.

- Index (a) = represents a statistically significant difference between the test subgroups and the control subgroups at each treatment month.

Table 1.1 is the intergroup analysis comparing the state of the liver enzymes parameters after two months of vitamin E therapeutic treatment. The A_{VE} is the control, while B_{VE}, C_{VE}, and D_{VE} are the treatment group. The result showed that statistically, there was a significant difference, $p \leq 0.05$ for B_{VE}, C_{VE}, and D_{VE} subgroups in all liver enzyme parameters examined, but A_{VE} had no significant difference.

Table 1.1: Inter group comparison of liver enzymes after two month of Vit E treatment.

Subgroup	SGOT (IU/L)	SGPT (IU/L)	ALP (IU/L)	GGT (IU/L)
A _{VE}	5.02 ± 0.19	4.08 ± 0.01	22.69 ± 0.55	19.02 ± 0.43
B _{VE}	12.65 ± 0.20 ^a	8.58 ± 0.07 ^{a,b}	36.71 ± 0.94 ^a	27.83 ± 0.63 ^a
C _{VE}	46.00 ± 0.94 ^a	74.13 ± 1.64 ^a	33.83 ± 0.50 ^a	33.33 ± 0.70 ^a
D _{VE}	44.50 ± 0.89 ^a	93.58 ± 1.97 ^a	205.67 ± 2.43 ^a	38.17 ± 0.50 ^a

Statistical significance: $P \leq 0.05$

- Index (a) = represents a statistically significant difference between the test subgroups and the control subgroups at each treatment month.

Intragroup comparative analysis of changes in liver biochemical data in liver enzymes of the test subjects is represented in Table 1.2. A₀ vs A_{VE} remained the control groups, while B₀ vs B_{VE}, C₀, C_{VE}, and D₀ vs D_{VE} were the paraquat and the vitamin E + paraquat groups respectively. The results showed that there was statistical significant difference, $p \leq 0.01$ in SGOT, SGGT, ALP, and GGT, whereas A₀ vs A_{VE} subgroups remained the same.

Table 1.2 Changes in Liver enzymes biochemical data after two months treatment period.

Subgroup	SGOT (IU/L)	SGPT (IU/L)	ALP (IU/L)	GGT (IU/L)
----------	-------------	-------------	------------	------------

A ₀	6.17 ± 1.38	4.18 ± 0.03	1.57 ± 0.05	13.33 ± 0.17
A _{VE}	5.55 ± 1.38	3.43 ± 0.01	1.91 ± 0.06	15.75 ± 0.57
B ₀	15.24 ± 1.39 ^a	41.15 ± 0.44 ^a	100.30 ± 1.00 ^a	63.00 ± 1.39 ^a
B _{VE}	15.11 ± 1.38 ^{a,b}	19.68 ± 0.38 ^{a,b}	73.00 ± 1.47 ^{a,b}	33.48 ± 1.30 ^{a,b}
C ₀	99.65 ± 1.64 ^a	147.00 ± 1.52 ^a	130.68 ± 0.84 ^a	76.25 ± 1.30 ^a
C _{VE}	94.69 ± 1.86 ^{a,b}	131.50 ± 2.15 ^{a,b}	72.80 ± 4.42 ^{a,b}	43.50 ± 0.71 ^{a,b}
D ₀	193.00 ± 2.70 ^a	258.75 ± 3.10 ^a	315.53 ± 1.63 ^a	99.00 ± 0.60 ^a
D _{VE}	138.50 ± 2.97 ^{a,b}	140.25 ± 2.11 ^{a,b}	198.78 ± 1.87 ^{a,b}	82.00 ± 0.84 ^{a,b}

Statistical significance: $P \leq 0.01, 0.05$ or 0.001 .

- Index (a) = represents a statistically significant difference between the test subgroups and the control subgroups at each treatment month.
- Index (b) = represents a statistically significant difference observed within each group (i.e. Group B: B₀ Vs B_{VE}) at each month.

DISCUSSION

This study evaluated the chronic toxicity impact of paraquat on the levels and activity of liver enzymes parameters SGOT, SGGT, ALP, and GGT, as well as the therapeutic effect of vitamin on the toxicity after treatments for two months. Initially, an intergroup comparison was conducted on the subgroups administered only paraquat (B₀, C₀, and D₀) against their control A₀. Another intergroup comparison was conducted on the vitamin E + paraquat treated group (B_{VE}, C_{VE}, and D_{VE}) to determine the healing effect of vitamin E on paraquat toxicity. The last analysis was an intragroup comparison within the same subgroup (A₀ vs A_{VE}, B₀ vs B_{VE}, C₀, C_{VE}, and D₀ vs D_{VE}).

The results obtained showed that the intergroup comparison of the paraquat treatment subgroups (B₀, C₀, and D₀) against their control group A₀ showed there was significant increase in all the liver enzyme parameters examined. This increase was dose-dependent such that D₀>C₀>B₀. This pattern means that the group with the highest dose of paraquat treatment had the highest liver toxic insult and the group with the least dose of paraquat had the least toxic insult. This outcome could be a proof that paraquat is toxic and leads to alteration in the levels and activity of some

liver enzymes parameters. This result is in agreement with the reports of studies conducted by Varma *et al.*, (2022), Kim *et al.*, (2017), Heller *et al.*, (2019), Kheiripour *et al.*, (2021), and Liu *et al.*, (2020) which all pointed out that paraquat is a toxic chemical and has the ability to disrupt the normal functioning of some liver enzyme parameters [4,5,7,9,10].

Inter group analytic comparison of the vitamin E + paraquat treatment subgroups (B_{VE}, C_{VE}, and D_{VE}) against the neutral group fed only with vitamin E (A_{VE}) was significantly difference in all the liver enzyme parameters examined. The result obtained here is an indication of the antioxidant potency of vitamin E against the peroxidation caused by paraquat. This result is in consonance with that reported by Miyazawa *et al.*, (2019), Watanabe *et al.*, (1986) and Harada *et al.*, (1991) which revealed that vitamin E possesses antioxidant ability and was able to limit paraquat peroxidation [12,13,14].

Significant difference was recorded on the intra group comparison conducted within each subgroups B₀ vs B_{VE}, C₀, C_{VE}, and D₀ vs D_{VE} (treatment subgroups) except for the A₀ vs A_{VE} (control groups). This result showed that vitamin E was able to ameliorate the imbalance in the liver enzyme parameters (SGOT, SGGT, ALP, and GGT) caused by paraquat, a result which is in agreement with the report of the research done by Watanabe *et al.*, (1986) and Harada *et al.*, (1991) [13,14].

CONCLUSION

It can therefore be deduced in conclusion that paraquat induced toxicity in liver enzymes parameters can be treated with vitamin E therapy on a two month basis.

REFERENCES

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention – CDC (2018). Facts About Paraquat <https://emergency.cdc.gov/agent/paraquat/basics/facts.asp> Retrieved 11/4/22
2. Sophia Clifton and Michelle Llamas. (2021). Paraquat. <https://www.drugwatch.com/paraquat/> Retrieved 11/4/22
3. Whitten, C., and Sachdev, P. (2021) What to Know About Paraquat Poisoning. <https://www.webmd.com/a-to-z-guides/what-to-know-about-paraquat-poisoning> Retrieved 11/4/22

4. Varma, A., and Gotter, A. (2022). Paraquat Poisoning. <https://www.healthline.com/health/paraquat-poisoning> Retrieved 11/4/22
5. Kim, J., Shin, S. D., Jeong, S., Suh, G. J., & Kwak, Y. H. (2017). Effect of prohibiting the use of Paraquat on pesticide-associated mortality. *BMC public health*, 17(1), 858. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-017-4832-4>
6. Gawarammana, I. B., and Buckley, N. A. (2011). Medical management of paraquat ingestion. *British journal of clinical pharmacology*, 72(5), 745–757. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2125.2011.04026.x>
7. Heller, J. L., Zieve, D., Conaway, B., and the A.D.A.M. Editorial team (2019). Paraquat poisoning. <https://medlineplus.gov/ency/article/001085.htm>
8. Suntres, Z. E. (2002). Role of antioxidants in paraquat toxicity. *Toxicology*. 180(1):65-77. doi: 10.1016/s0300-483x(02)00382-7. PMID: 12324200.
9. Kheiripour, N., Plarak, A., Heshmati, A., Asl, S. S., Mehri, F., Ebadollahi-Natanzi, A., Ranjbar, A., Hosseini, A. (2021). Evaluation of the hepatoprotective effects of curcumin and nanocurcumin against paraquat-induced liver injury in rats: Modulation of oxidative stress and Nrf2 pathway. *J Biochem Mol Toxicol*. 35(5):e22739. doi: 10.1002/jbt.22739. Epub 2021 Feb 5. PMID: 33544450.
10. Liu, Z., Wang, X., Li, L., Wei, G., Zhao, M. (2020). Hydrogen Sulfide Protects against Paraquat-Induced Acute Liver Injury in Rats by Regulating Oxidative Stress, Mitochondrial Function, and Inflammation. *Oxid Med Cell Longev*. 23;2020:6325378. doi: 10.1155/2020/6325378.
11. Zeinvand-Lorestani, H., Nili-Ahmadabadi, A., Balak, F., Hasanzadeh, G., Sabzevari, O. (2018). Protective role of thymoquinone against paraquat-induced hepatotoxicity in mice. *Pestic Biochem Physiol*. 148:16-21. doi: 10.1016/j.pestbp.2018.03.006.
12. Miyazawa, T., Burdeos, G. C., Itaya, M., Nakagawa, K., Miyazawa, T. (2019). Vitamin E: Regulatory Redox Interactions. *IUBMB Life*. 71(4):430-441. doi: 10.1002/iub.2008.
13. Watanabe, N., Shiki, Y., Morisaki, N., Saito, Y., Yoshida, S. (1986). Cytotoxic effects of paraquat and inhibition of them by vitamin E. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1;883(3):420-5. doi: 10.1016/0304-4165(86)90279-5.

14. Harada, N., Saito, S., Minakata, K. (1991). Effects of vitamin E on toxicity by minute amounts of paraquat fed continuously to rats. *J Nutr Sci Vitaminol (Tokyo)*. 37(1):1-13. doi: 10.3177/jnsv.37.1.
15. Okolonkwo, B. N., Amadi, C. F., Chikwubike, U. O and Nyenke, C. U. (2022). The comperative effects of vitamin E + C on the chronic toxicity of paraquat in albino rats (*Rattus norvegicus*). *European Journal of Medicinal Plants*, 33(6),7-13

UNDER PEER REVIEW