

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_93935
Title of the Manuscript:	A study of Physical Fitness Index in Physiotherapy Students by using Modified Harvard Step Test
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I understood it is important physical therapist's fitness for their work in the future.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.It should be considered for easy understanding to show flow chart for data setting process. 2. Data analysis section was lack of information. Author should show more detail analysis progress for understanding (e.g., the result of Shapiro-Wilk test) 3. Author said "sitting time is longer." That point is compared with some data? I could not confirm it. 4. I would think author should put some detail note for understanding in table and figure. 5. Chi square test only showed a significant in whole, but it does not mean it shows a significant between each group. I think that author did not seem to perform using Residual Analysis. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.It is better this part should be moved in Modified Harvard Step Test Method "There was difficulty in collecting the anthropometric parameters in students in such situation and to avoid diurnal variation all the exercise data were collected during morning hours between 9 am to 12 pm." 2.Author explained the results in discussion. Please check on it and move to the results section. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kimura Takashi
Department, University & Country	Aso Rehabilitation College, Japan