

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_90817
Title of the Manuscript:	The extent of school-industry collaboration for achieving technical education graduates' industrial usability in Cross River State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper examines an interesting issue, but it needs the following: 1) to add more literature/references, 2) the references in the text are not well presented (for example [1] mentioned...this is not the right way, 3) in the literature part, we do not mentioned too many information such as the statistical method...we mention only the main findings, 4) the conclusion part should be extended and to add also a discussion part in order to discuss the findings of the study, 5) at the end, a part about the "Limitations of the study and suggestions for future research is needed.	
Minor REVISION comments	Dear author, this paper examines an interesting issue, but it needs the following: 1) to add more literature/references, 2) the references in the text are not well presented (for example [1] mentioned...this is not the right way, 3) in the literature part, we do not mentioned too many information such as the statistical method...we mention only the main findings, 4) the conclusion part should be extended and to add also a discussion part in order to discuss the findings of the study, 5) at the end, a part about the "Limitations of the study and suggestions for future research is needed.	
Optional/General comments	Please read 2 published papers to check the right way of writing.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Konstantinos Malagas
Department, University & Country	University of the Aegean, Greece