

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_94622
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Cluster Front Line Demonstration on Productivity and Yield Gap of Lentil in Kokrajhar District of Assam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the title reflects the content of the study - the manuscript is sufficiently robust from a technical point and it is a topical study. - the summary is very concise, informative and describes the elements of the study: the purpose, the place, the method, the biological material, the obtained results. - the abstract does not exceed 300 words and contains keywords specified in the text of the study. - in the introduction, aspects are defined regarding: the lentil, its role in food, in Indian agriculture to increase the productivity of lentil and reduce the extension and technology gap from Kokrajhar district The information is supported by research from the specialized literature. - the material and method section is complete, contains detailed information about the experiment supported by tables. - the results and discussions are original, described in detail, present references, accessible for documentation, with tables specified in the text. - the data in the tables are correctly calculated according to the calculation formulas presented in the material and method. -note ... table 3 is not specified in the text of the results - the conclusions are briefly presented based on the results obtained and demonstrate the role of the experiments. - note ...references in the manuscript text are not specified using numbers in square brackets (e.g., "as discussed by Mehta et al [1]"). - in the references section, all the authors are found in the text but are not numbered consecutively in the order of their appearance in the study. - in the text appears Mandal 2017 and in the references appears Mandal et al. 2019.. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Placinta Domnica Daniela
Department, University & Country	Romania