

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_94622
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Cluster Front Line Demonstration on Productivity and Yield Gap of Lentil in Kokrajhar District of Assam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author should be able to let her readers know other approaches or methods that scientist have used in attempt to address the issues of low productivity associated with lentil. What have others done and why is CFLD different or better? The manuscript is a good one and should be accepted for publication if the corrections are well attended to	
Minor REVISION comments	Formatting and grammar issues, though not so many	
Optional/General comments	Learn APA referencing style, 7 th edition	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes. How the researcher involved the farmer, to the extent of using the farmer's field for study was not stated	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Clement Okechukwu Attamah
Department, University & Country	University of Nigeria, Nigeria