

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_94548
Title of the Manuscript:	Gain in knowledge after watching video clip of soil sampling in Punjab region (SAS Nagar)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Sort keywords alphabetically; Use descriptors more related to the study and that allow the possible reader to find the study on the Web The introduction should be revised for citation rules. Update and bring more references. In the entire introduction, only two references are cited, and very old ones. The methodology does not allow the reader to understand where, when and how the study was carried out. It must be detailed. Furthermore, the ethical aspects that involved the research must be presented. As the study involved human beings, authorization from an Ethics Committee must be submitted. Before Figures, tables or charts, authors must add a title informing the most relevant results The results are not discussed Results are not discussed. References must be updated, being from the last five years. Furthermore, 11 references are cited at the end, however only two are mentioned in the text.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	See comments	
Optional/General comments	The article presents an interesting idea, however, it is presented in the form of a newspaper text and not a scientific article. Must be reviewed	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Junir Antônio Lutinski
Department, University & Country	Universidade Comunitária da Região de Chapecó, Brazil