

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_94503
Title of the Manuscript:	Nexus of agriculture growth and poverty reduction in India
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Major revision is required. Please address the following comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please consider rewriting the title as: Agricultural growth and poverty reduction nexus in India 2. There are some typos in the abstract, fix them. For instance, "The findings of the study shows that", fix the grammatical error 3. Please use space between the author names and year in the citations. "Therefore, the key of alleviating poverty levels rest on provisioning basic amenities and most crucial among all is to ensure food and nutritional security as duo turns out to be game changer in reducing poverty levels (Das and Mohanty.,2020, Dah and Baselot.,2021)". There is no space and it looks odd. 4. In the intro, please try to establish a robust link between the core of the problem and how you can address the issues empirically. 5. In the intro, the background information is very minimal. Try to incorporate more background to address the core problem. Write at least two-three paragraphs detailing the background. 6. Please highlight point-by-point how the paper is about to enrich the current literature. Incorporate the findings of the recent literature as you highlight the points. 7. When you are citing form a bulk of studies (two or more citations in a bracket), cite according to the alphabets. It reads well and a standard practice to follow in the academic writing. 8. I don't know why there is no literature review section. 9. The author should include the map of the respective states where the study was conducted. 10. The method section needs to rewritten properly. Include the description of the variables, and the data sources appropriately. Please use the standard equation command from the MS word to craft the equations. At this stage you are mixing all the superscripts and subscripts. Number all the equations. 11. There are many scattered typos and grammatical errors. Please fix them. Use Grammarly to fix these issues. 12. The authors should show that their findings are replicable and comparable with others. When discussing the outcomes, the authors should cite from the recent literature to show that their findings are comparable. Please try to incorporate the recent progress in the literature in your discussion section. 13. Please refrain from writing the following: Agri.GDP per worker and Non- Agri.GDP per worker. Use the full forms. 14. Please specify the limitations of this study. Along with the suggestions for the future studies in the end of conclusion section. 	
Minor REVISION comments	None	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Razib Hossain
Department, University & Country	Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University, Bangladesh