

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_94384
Title of the Manuscript:	Pleasure of Fulfilling the Aspect as Parents between Mother and Father
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>P1 In abstract, there are too many background materials introduced, and your research results have been demonstrated in the quantitative research, so I want to understand why you use qualitative research, and what is the theoretical and practical significance of your research?</p> <p>P2 "Parenting or child rearing promotes and supports the physical, emotional, social, and intellectual development of a child from infancy to adulthood. Parenting refers to the intricacies of raising a child and not exclusively for a biological relationship." The first paragraph of the introduction is the definition of parenting, but the content is too broad, involving a lot of content unrelated to child rearing, which may be far from the core theme of this research. In introduction, it is just a simple pile up, not even a reference, this is very weak writing, and I suggest rewriting it. "Most essential parenting skills", "Tips can help to improve the parenting skills" and "Parenting skills to assess" The content of the three parts is too superficial.</p> <p>P6 Effective versus Effective Parenting, how the performance of different parents is derived, and if it comes from the interview, the necessary interview text should be presented.</p> <p>P10 "Research Method" section only mentions the age range of the study population. The specific number, other demographic variables, and the scale used are not mentioned (maybe the author do not use any formed scales), which makes the scores and results untrustworthy. In the part of "Research Method", please state in detail how to recruit subjects, how to interview, how long to interview, whether to sign informed consent, how to code and so on. Please state these contents in detail. Refer to the article by Levitt et al (2018) below.</p> <p>Levitt, H. M., Bamberg, M., Creswell, J. W., Frost, D. M., Josselson, R., & Suárez-Orozco, C. (2018). Journal article reporting standards for qualitative primary, qualitative meta-analytic, and mixed methods research in psychology: The APA Publications and Communications Board task force report. <i>American Psychologist</i>, 73(1), 26.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jun Chen
Department, University & Country	School of Education, Soochow University, China