

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_94285
Title of the Manuscript:	Promotion of fish production and doubling farmers income through inculcating the spirit of scientific fish farming among Scheduled Caste fish farmers in Nagaon district of Assam, India- a case study
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">References should have year of publication after the name of author (s) or Book/Publication under bracket and not in the last.Title should be written in full like Sharma or Singh and name in short like Sharma, P. or Singh, R.K.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">In the title of the paper farmers' income may be writtenThe short formed words like et al., i.e. should be written in Italics.	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">Results and discussion should be written in past form like "Total population of the sample households was 21....."In percent, per and cent should be written separately.Symbol of percentage (%) should be written when it comes under bracket otherwise in words like per cent.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Angad Prasad
Department, University & Country	Central Agricultural University, India