

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAAR_95064 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A Comparative study on hybrid and inbred rice grown in Aman season |
| Type of the Article | Research article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>Title of the article is quite relatable to the manuscript. Overall structure of the write up along with graphical representation is impressive. Abstract is good enough to introduce the story but need some modification and typographical mistakes are to be corrected. From the scientific point of view, this article looks appropriate to be published.</p> <p>But the problem is credibility.</p> <p>References as well as data used in this manuscript need to be updated. They are too old to claim scientific impacts on modern agriculture. Way of writing and data representation could be better while comparative studies are going on.</p> <p>The reason of choosing those particular hybrids is not clear in the write-up.</p> <p>Grammatical mistakes are also found.</p> <p>Need more critical revision to go for publishing the manuscript.</p> <p>Plagiarism status is negligible.</p> <p>Good luck to the entire team who have contributed in this manuscript.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <p>Not a big issue with English proficiency. Need a critical revision to correct the grammatical mistakes as well as typographical errors.</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Kinjal Mondal |
| Department, University & Country | Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture and Technology, India |