

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_94832
Title of the Manuscript:	Can the robot be considered as a person? The European perspective
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In this paper, the author(s) reflected on the object-human transformation of autonomous robot entity. And the author(s) also pointed out that such transformation is not immediate and requires technological progress and legal adjustments. The topic in this paper is interesting. I have the following comments: 1. Section 2, it is about method, if possible, could you please provide a flowchart/schematic (which can make readers clearer)?	
Minor REVISION comments	Some tiny issues: 1. On page 5, in the last paragraph, line 4, what does it mean for " [...] "? 2. Formatting inconsistencies in the references, for example, the journal's name are sometimes all capitalized sometimes not.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Xinxing Wu
Department, University & Country	University of Kentucky, United States