

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_94832
Title of the Manuscript:	Can the robot be considered as a person? The European perspective
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	More grammatical mistakes available. Missing lot of a, the like words. Punctuation needed in complex /compound sentences.	
Minor REVISION comments	If grammatical mistakes corrected, then it's accepted. For eg. Paragraph AB... 59f = Unclear word choice occurred.	
Optional/General comments	Good idea.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Nachimuthu
Department, University & Country	Periyar University, India