

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_93985
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS AFFECTING PLANNING LAWS AND REGULATIONS COMPLIANCE IN THE CAPITAL CITIES IN SOUTH SOUTH GEOPOLITICAL REGION, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Samples were not explained in detail, who they were, how to choose them, how to fill out the instrument (did they fill it out themselves or was there a research team reading it out or giving it online).2. There are quite serious problems in the discussion chapter, the results obtained from this research are not adequately discussed. Develop a discussion of your research results with various relevant and up-to-date international journals.	
Minor REVISION comments	The advice given lacks detail and operationality, for example: government agencies burdened with the responsibility of enforcing planning regulations and laws should be strictly enforced --- how to strictly enforce them? who is the government agency in question? detail who did what.	
Optional/General comments	Use, update and add the latest and relevant international journal references to support the introduction and discussion chapters. In this study, it was not explained adequately regarding the ethical aspects, including how they treated their sample, whether there was informed consent given by the researchers to the humans involved as research respondents. Besides that, how do they access secondary data and how do they treat the data obtained. As well as whether there is a guarantee of confidentiality on the data they obtain, both primary and secondary.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Evi Widowati
Department, University & Country	Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia