

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_92900
Title of the Manuscript:	Sustainable education: Restoring dignity of communities in the classrooms and beyond
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In the abstract, it is not allowed to include someone's opinion.2. In the abstract, please include the purpose, type of research (eg case studies, literature review, or others), research subjects, data collection, data analysis (eg Miles & Huberman, interactive, descriptive methods, etc.), and research results.3. In the introduction, please explain the purpose of the study, and add the GAP of research analysis that distinguishes this study from other studies.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. It would be nice to use the keywords "continuing education", "Community dignity", and "classroom"2. It would be nice if the last paragraph in the introduction contained the research problem3. on the methodology, it would be nice to cover the type of research, research subject, data collection techniques and tools, and data analysis.4. The conclusion should be made in the form of paragraphs, not bullet points. the content of the conclusion is also a problem and a summary of the solutions generated in the study.	
Optional/General comments	in general the article is good, coherent, and interesting.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Indah Suciati
Department, University & Country	Alkhairaat University, Indonesia