

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_94007
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic polymorphisms of MTRRA66G genes and susceptibility to Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia in the Yemeni population
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalahri.com/index.php/AHRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article has certain deficiencies regardless of the result and the conclusion reached by the authors. It needs to clarify the inclusion/exclusion criteria, demographic and clinical data (age, sex, type of treatment, sick time,) It is a simple work, with a simple conclusion and I consider that polymorphisms should be studied about diseases to advance the knowledge of the behaviour of the pathology. The number of patients included in the study is low to draw definitive conclusions, I would use expressions like if possible. If it is the most common adult leukemia in Yemen, I think they can partner with other hospitals to get more clinical data and samples.	
Minor REVISION comments	the initial primer concentration is missing Fig 1 and 2 should leave more space below and indicate the band size. Table 1 is repeated as text. Results are repeated in the discussion There are some formatting problems in the Bibliography and in the text occasionally missing separates between words. The authors should add a paragraph in the discussion of the drawbacks of the work.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adela Sembaj
Department, University & Country	National University of Cordoba, Argentina