

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_93388
Title of the Manuscript:	FISH LIPIDS FUNCTIONALITY IN HEALTH AND DISEASE
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The author(s) did not use the journal guidelines to type this article. The article shows many typography errors, which have been underlined in purple.</p> <p>Ex; abstract: does not meet the journal. Redo (there has no methodology. And the very weak and different conclusions from article. A list of the article's abbreviations was given, which is not guided by the journal. To eliminate. Introduction: less than one sheet does not define objectives or justification for the work. So follow several items, without indicating which part of the article> ??? Introduction or materials and methods????</p> <p>Will they be results???? This form of writing is not clear. Does not comply with journal guidelines.</p> <p>At the end of this information are the conclusions, which are not define what the research results were and if these are in accordance with the objectives.</p> <p>Bibliographic references: does not meet the journal guidelines. All references in the article are poorly reported. . There are errors in the names of the references. , has a bibliography repeated twice. All indicated in purple. A good review of these references should be performed.</p> <p>The article underlined in purple is added (errors); green right shape and in blue (at the author's discretion).</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anselmo Enrique Ferrer Hernandez
Department, University & Country	Havana University, Cuba