

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_70491
Title of the Manuscript:	IN-VIVO ANTIBACTERIAL AND SYNERGETIC EFFECT OF GARLIC (ALLIUM SATIVUM LINN) AND WATER LILIES (NYMPHAE LOTUS LINN) EXTRACTS ON MULTI-DRUG RESISTANT ENTERIC BACTERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I really find the title appealing! The problem is the way the article is written and presented. It does not have the form it should have! There are 59 pages! NO article should be this long. The provided information, graphs, tables and so on are extended to the measure that it looks like a final master thesis and not adjusted for publication as an article! (The information given in the article is not "article" formatted, but rather as a master thesis).</p> <p>There are too many images, too many graphs and tables! The Materials and methods are never written in this format, you cannot just name the things you have used to research in the methods, and you have to provide the manufacturer and the country you bought it from, as it needs to be cited in the paper in a correct manner.</p> <p>I advise to the author to see how it should be written from any previous published papers in the magazine.</p> <p>The research is interesting and definitely should be published once it is presented as an article.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	Please, use this constructive criticism and rewrite your paper since the subject is very interesting.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nataša Hulak
Department, University & Country	University of Zagreb, Croatia