

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_77537
Title of the Manuscript:	Laboratory Assessment of Different Kanwa Based Mineral Lick for Ruminant Nutrition
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the present manuscripts examined the physical and chemical composition of kanwa based mineral blocks. Although the subject of this study is related to the Journal of Applied Life Sciences International, a few corrections to the article will increase the scientific value of the study.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The introduction part is sufficient, but the aim of the study should be clearly stated in the last part of the introduction.2. There is a lot of information about the experimental field. It should be abbreviated and meteorological information unrelated to the study should be removed.3. It should be stated how many blocks were examined in each trial group in the study.4. Table 2 should be cited in the text and it should be clearly emphasized that it is the control group.5. Statistical analysis of the obtained data must be done. This situation reduces the reliability of the study. The standard errors of the means in the tables should be given. The number of blocks examined in each treatment group should be given in the tables (n=??)	
Optional/General comments	The results of the study were discussed in accordance with the literature, and it was deemed appropriate by me to publish the study in the " Journal of Applied Life Sciences International " after corrections were made.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uğur ŞEN
Department, University & Country	Ondokuz Mayıs University, Turkey