

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_74484
Title of the Manuscript:	Primary intrahepatic biliary tracts tumors: diagnosis and treatment.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrrga.com/index.php/AJRRGA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Since intrahepatic cholangioCa is a neoplasia its incidence is rising sharply a review on intrahepatic biliary tumors is interesting. The review should be better organized and several things should be clarified. It should be stressed that intrahepatic CholangioCa is mainly connected with chronic liver disease thus its prevalence follows NASH rising. The role of other factors such as flukes and PSC is obscure since studies do not differentiate intra and extrahepatic tumors. The role of various markers to differentiate intra and extrahepatic tumors should be referred. Also classification in mass-forming, periductal infiltrating, and intraductal growing tumors should be included. A paragraph on molecular markers and their connection to pathogenesis should be included. Its presentation on MRCP in PSC cases should be mentioned as well as the role of follow-up US in patients with chronic liver disease. The treatment part should be better organized defining criteria of operability, nomograms of survival prediction and palliated treatments. Also efforts to provide adjuvant and neoadjuvant treatment are worth to be mentioned as well as palliative treatments apart of surgery. A paragraph on future perspectives is worthy. Connection of cystadenoCa with IPMN and genetic predisposition should be made and the role of hormonal factors should be analysed. Criteria differentiating a tumor from a simple cyst should be mentioned and those mandating a close follow-up of cystadenomas. For both tumors (cholangioCa – cystadenoCa) accuracy of histologic and FNA -cytology techs should be mentioned.	
Minor REVISION comments	Grammatical and spelling mistakes should be corrected.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Panagiotis Tsibouris
Department, University & Country	NIMTS General Hospital, Greece