

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_69644
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative knockdown efficacy of locally made insecticide Ota Pia-Pia and Sniper insecticides to Deltamethrin insecticides as control Knockdown Malaria Vectors in Keffi Local Government Area, Nasarawa State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>The topic needs to be corrected and rephrased so that we understand which insecticide was used as standard. The manuscript has a proposed/corrected topic Check the highlights in yellow. Generally, the manuscript has too many typo errors. Most of them have been corrected and highlighted in yellow.</b></p> <p><b>In the abstract</b> Rephrase from line 4 to line 8 and break the sentence into shorter sentences to make sense. Bring out the objectives, and brief methodology of the work in clear sentences. Add sniper to the list of key words</p> <p><b>In the introduction section</b>, State which one of the synthetic insecticide is being tested and which one is the control. If the control group is different from the standard, then explain that because from figure 1, the control and the standard insecticide have different results. Check the comments in the review box of the manuscript. In the results section, you need to rewrite the sentences from line 3 to line 7 in an understandably way Table one and chart one are presenting the same information. The same error occurs for table 2 and chart 2, table three and chart 3. You need to delete one of them to avoid duplication. I prefer you keep the tables and delete the charts. There are hanging phrases in the results section that have been indicated for delete</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Judith Lum Ndamukong Nyanga
Department, University & Country	University of Yaounde 1, Cameroon