



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ JOCAMR_59945
Title of the Manuscript:	Internal motivation to enhance compliance in a hypertensive patient: A case study.
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Author has not mentioned about patient's weight / BMI. As most of the studies have shown reduction of blood pressure in obese individuals with exercise, so it is important to note whether the patient falls in normal, overweight or obese group. 2. Author has not mentioned the recommended effective walking required to reduce blood pressure. References can be given. 3. Blood Pressure readings given in abstract and main article are different. Corrections required. 4. In discussion, author can give references of other case reports/articles related to the topic. 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Study type, place and duration of study details can be removed from abstract and to be included in the main article. 2. Headings of Background, case presentation and conclusion can be used for structured abstract. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lalita H Nikam
Department, University & Country	Terna Medical College, India