



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_63980
Title of the Manuscript:	Carbon Stock Assessment of Psidium guayava L.(Myrtaceae) Agroforestry Systems in Septentrion Zone of Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www..org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The work entitled: "Evaluation of carbon stocks of the agroforestry systems of Psidium guayava L. (Myrtaceae) in the Northern area of Cameroon". In this regard, it is an investigation that meets the requirements to be approved. But the concern is how to mitigate climate change (high temperatures, precipitation, humidity and solar radiation) through the agroforestry of the guava tree. What is the public policy? Indicate the variety of guava tree. Which concentrates or absorbs more carbon from the atmosphere?	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The relationship in biomass: the more guava trees, the less the effect of climate change? The relationship: the higher the tree's altitude, the more carbon stock ? 10-year plots capture more carbon. But that depends on the type of soil. Soil analysis was done in the laboratories of the International University of Central Cameroon (Faculty of Renewable Energies). Detail the types of soil.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Eusebio Benique Olivera
Department, University & Country	Perú