



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_60800
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF ENTREPRENEURIAL STRATEGIES ON THE PERFORMANCE OF SMEs IN KOGI STATE
Type of the Article	Empirical

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In the data analysis part some corrections are needed, comments have been placed in the manuscript where corrections are needed. As an example, in case of Multiple regression analysis model summary is interpreted on basis of adjusted R square instead of R square which needs to be revised in this manuscript.</p> <p>While interpreting coefficients, at some places unstandardized beta value has been taken while at other standardized beta value taken, this confusion needs clearance.</p> <p>Empirical studies are based on clear hypotheses and their treatment after analysis, which is not present in this manuscript.</p> <p>Hypothesis can be mentioned and accordingly in findings section acceptance and rejections made.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>There are so many grammatical mistakes, some statements are incomplete. Research gap is not highlighted specifically; more work is needed in presenting the gap.</p> <p>Rewrite the first part of abstract.</p> <p>Mention some contradictory studies with the findings of this study.</p>	
Optional/General comments	Nice work, extensive literature review has been done, reliability of scales done accurately.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ishaq Ahmad Dar
Department, University & Country	Lovely Professional University, India