

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_90379
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibacterial and GC-MS Profile of Purified Essential Oil From Multi-Phases Solvent Extraction Method From Stem Extract of Aframomum melegueta [Roscoe] K. Schum
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsarjnp.com/index.php/SARJNP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1)The title page should contain a brief title, name(s) of author(s) and their affiliations.The name of the corresponding author should be indicated along with telephone and fax numbers (with country and area code) along with full postal address and e-mail address. 2)Check for grammar mistakes. 3)Tables and figures are to be quoted in text also. 4)Results should be properly tabulated. 5)zones of inhibition whether calculated along with well size or not should be predicted.. 6)Scientific names and scientist names after it should be in italics. 7) Reference should be square brackets throughout paper. 8) Positive and negative controls should be tabulated and should be analysed with reasons that might increase or decrease in values. 9) How standard is reflecting on evaluation of data should be properly discussed. 10) Along with high yielding best results, low yielding results should be discussed and reason for high and low yields should be analysed and discussed. 11) A brief acknowledgment section is needed. 12) Check author guidelines for references, declaration of AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS, CONSENT, ETHICAL APPROVAL. 13) conclusion should be more elaborated.	
Minor REVISION comments	1) Paragraph spacing should be properly adjusted. 2) Spacing between words should be properly checked. 3)Fullstop should be kept after brackets were closed for Reference While writing. 4) Flow chart 1 in incomplete in first box.please check and direction of flow should be properly represented. 5)It would be better if explanation pertaining to fig and table is given below the figures as much as possible to avoid confusion.	
Optional/General comments	1) Highlighted green-need to be corrected. 2) Highlighted yellow-already corrected.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Surya Satya Sravanthi Pammi
Department, University & Country	SVRK Government Degree College, India